

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Traces on the Sklyanin algebra and correlation functions of the eight-vertex model

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2005 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 7629 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/38/35/003)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.94 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 03:56

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 7629-7659

# Traces on the Sklyanin algebra and correlation functions of the eight-vertex model

H Boos<sup>1,6</sup>, M Jimbo<sup>2</sup>, T Miwa<sup>3</sup>, F Smirnov<sup>4,7</sup> and Y Takeyama<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Physics Department, University of Wuppertal, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

 <sup>4</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 16 1<sup>er</sup> Étage, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
 <sup>5</sup> Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

E-mail: boos@physik.uni-wuppertal.de, jimbomic@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp, tetsuji@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp, smirnov@lpthe.jussieu.fr and takeyama@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

# Received 12 April 2005

Published 16 August 2005 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/38/7629

#### Abstract

We propose a conjectural formula for correlation functions of the Z-invariant (inhomogeneous) eight-vertex model. We refer to this conjecture as *ansatz*. It states that correlation functions are linear combinations of products of three transcendental functions, with theta functions and derivatives as coefficients. The transcendental functions are essentially logarithmic derivatives of the partition function per site. The coefficients are given in terms of a linear functional  $Tr_{\lambda}$  on the Sklyanin algebra, which interpolates the usual trace on finite-dimensional representations. We establish the existence of  $Tr_{\lambda}$  and discuss the connection to the geometry of the classical limit. We also conjecture that the ansatz satisfies the reduced qKZ equation. As a non-trivial example of the ansatz, we present a new formula for the next-nearest-neighbour correlation functions.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Uw, 02.30.Ik, 05.50.+q, 75.10.Pq Mathematics Subject Classification: 82B23, 81R12

#### 1. Introduction

Exact description of correlation functions and their analysis is one of the central problems of integrable lattice models. Significant progress has been made over the last decade towards

0305-4470/05/357629+31\$30.00 © 2005 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> On leave of absence from the Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino 142281, Russia.
 <sup>7</sup> Membre du CNRS.

this goal. In the study of correlation functions, a basic role is played by a multiple integral representation, first found for the archetypical example of the spin 1/2-XXZ chain [17, 15, 20]. Subsequently, it has been generalized in several directions, to incorporate an external field [20], unequal time [19], non-zero temperature [13] and finite chains [18]. Earlier in the literature, extension to elliptic models has also been pursued. The free-field construction used in the XXZ model was extended in [24] to the SOS models, resulting in an integral formula for correlation functions of the ABF model. In [25], an integral formula was obtained for the eight-vertex model by mapping the problem to the SOS counterpart. A novel free-field representation of the eight-vertex model is being developed in [33, 34].

Recent studies have revealed another aspect of these integrals. Through examples at short distance, it has been observed in the case of the homogeneous XXX chain that the relevant integrals can be evaluated in terms of the Riemann zeta function at odd integers with rational coefficients [5]. Similar calculations have been performed for the XXZ chain [23, 37]. This phenomenon was explained later through a duality between the qKZ equations of level 0 and level -4 [6, 7]. Motivated by these works, we have established in our previous papers [3, 4] an algebraic representation (in the sense, no integrals are involved) for general correlation functions of the inhomogeneous six-vertex model and its degeneration<sup>8</sup>. The aim of the present paper is to continue our study and examine the eight-vertex model.

We formulate a conjectural formula for correlation functions (the ansatz) along the same line with the six-vertex case. Consider the eight-vertex model where each column *i* (resp. row *j*) carries an independent spectral parameter  $t_i$  (resp. 0). The object of our interest is the matrix

$$h_n(t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n=0}^3\varepsilon_{\alpha_1}\cdots\varepsilon_{\alpha_n}\langle\sigma_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\sigma_n^{\alpha_n}\rangle(\sigma^{\alpha_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma^{\alpha_n})^T\in\mathrm{End}((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}),$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the ground-state average in the thermodynamic limit,  $\sigma^0 = 1, \sigma^a$  $(1 \le a \le 3)$  are the Pauli matrices and *T* stands for the matrix transpose. Regard  $h_n$  as a vector via the identification  $\operatorname{End}((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2n}$  and let  $\mathbf{s}_n$  denote the vector corresponding to the identity. Our ansatz is that  $h_n$  can be represented in the form

$$h_n(t_1, ..., t_n) = 2^{-n} \exp\left(\sum_{i < j} \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a(t_{ij}) \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1, ..., t_n)\right) \mathbf{s}_n$$

Here,  $\omega_a(t)$  are scalar functions given explicitly in terms of the partition function per site (see (2.32)). The matrices  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  are expressible by theta functions and derivatives. Leaving the details to section 2.4, let us comment on the latter. In the six-vertex case,  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  are defined in terms of a 'trace' of a monodromy matrix.

In the six-vertex case,  $X_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  are defined in terms of a 'trace' of a monodromy matrix. Here, 'trace' means the unique linear functional

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}: U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm \lambda}] \oplus \lambda \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm \lambda}],$$

which for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  reduces to the usual trace on the  $\lambda$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . In the eight-vertex case, we need an analogous functional  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}$ , defined on the Sklyanin algebra and taking values in the space of entire functions involving  $\lambda$ , theta functions and derivatives. Compared with the trigonometric case, the existence of  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}$  is more difficult to establish. We do that by considering the classical limit and showing that, for generic values of the structure constants, the computation of the trace of an arbitrary monomial can be reduced to that of seven basic monomials. We have also compared our formula for  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}$  with the results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Correlation functions of the XXZ and XXX chains are given in the limit where all the inhomogeneity parameters are chosen to be the same. However, we have not succeeded in performing this homogeneous limit.

by Fabricius and McCoy [8] for  $\lambda = 3, 4, 5$ . In the classical limit, the Sklyanin algebra becomes the algebra of regular functions on an algebraic surface in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , which turns out to be a smoothing of a simple-elliptic singularity of Saito [29]. The reduction of the trace is closely connected with the de Rham cohomology of this surface (see appendix A). Although we do not use Saito's results for our immediate purposes, we find this connection intriguing.

In the trigonometric case, it was shown [4] that the functions given by the above ansatz satisfies the reduced qKZ equation. The steps of the proof carry over straightforwardly to the elliptic case, except for one property (the cancellation identity). Unfortunately, we have not succeeded in proving this last relation. It remains an open question to show that our ansatz in the elliptic case satisfies the reduced qKZ equation.

To check the validity of the ansatz, we examine the simplest case n = 2. In this case, an exact answer for the homogeneous chain is obtained as derivatives of the ground-state energy of the spin-chain Hamiltonian. Our formula matches with it. We also present an explicit formula for the correlators with n = 3. It agrees well numerically with the known integral formulae of [25, 26].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce our notation and formulate the ansatz for correlation functions. In section 3, we discuss the validity of the cancellation identity and give arguments in its favour. Section 4 is devoted to the examples for correlators of the nearest- and next-nearest-neighbour spins. We also discuss briefly the trigonometric limit. In appendix A, we prove the existence of the trace functional. As was mentioned above, the classical limit of the Sklyanin algebra is related to an affine algebraic surface and the trace functional tends to an integral over a certain cycle on it. We explain the connection between this picture and Saito's theory. In appendix B, we give an explicit description of the integration cycles. Appendix C contains technical lemmas about the trace. Finally, in appendix D, we discuss the transformation properties of the matrices  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$ .

#### 2. Ansatz for correlation functions

In this section, we introduce our notation and formulate the ansatz for correlation functions of an inhomogeneous eight-vertex model, following the scheme developed in [4].

#### 2.1. R matrix

We consider an elliptic *R* matrix depending on three complex parameters  $t, \eta, \tau$ . We assume Im  $\tau > 0$  and  $\eta \notin \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\tau$ .<sup>9</sup> We will normally regard  $\eta, \tau$  as fixed constants and suppress them from the notation. Let  $\theta_{\alpha}(t) = \theta_{\alpha}(t|\tau)$  ( $0 \le \alpha \le 4, \theta_4(t) = \theta_0(t)$ ) denote the Jacobi elliptic theta functions associated with the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  [14]. We set

$$[t] := \frac{\theta_1(2t)}{\theta_1(2\eta)}.$$

The *R* matrix is given by

$$R(t) := \rho(t) \frac{r(t)}{[t+\eta]} \in \text{End}(V \otimes V),$$
(2.1)

$$r(t) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \frac{\theta_{\alpha+1}(2t+\eta)}{\theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)} \sigma^{\alpha} \otimes \sigma^{\alpha}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $V = \mathbb{C}v_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}v_-$ .

<sup>9</sup> Later on, we also assume that  $\eta$  is generic.

The matrix  $r(t) = r_{12}(t)$  is the unique entire function satisfying

$$\begin{split} r_{12}(0) &= P_{12}, \\ \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^a r_{12}(t) &= r_{12}(t) \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^a \qquad (a = 1, 2, 3), \\ r_{12} \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) &= -\sigma_1^1 r_{12}(t) \sigma_1^1, \\ r_{12} \left( t + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) &= -\sigma_1^3 r_{12}(t) \sigma_1^3 \times e^{-2\pi i (2t + \eta + \tau/2)}. \end{split}$$

Here,  $P \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$  signifies the transposition  $Pu \otimes v = v \otimes u$ . As is customary, the suffix of a matrix indicates the tensor component on which it acts non-trivially, e.g.  $\sigma_1^{\alpha} = \sigma^{\alpha} \otimes 1$ ,  $\sigma_2^{\alpha} = 1 \otimes \sigma^{\alpha}$ .

The normalizing factor  $\rho(t)$  is chosen to ensure that the partition function per site of the corresponding eight-vertex model equals 1. Its explicit formula depends on the regime under consideration and will be given later in (2.28) and (2.29). In each case, it satisfies

$$\rho(t)\rho(-t) = 1, \qquad \rho(t)\rho(t-\eta) = \frac{[t]}{[\eta-t]}.$$

We will often write  $t_{ij} = t_i - t_j$ . The basic properties of R(t) are the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(t_{12})R_{13}(t_{13})R_{23}(t_{23}) = R_{23}(t_{23})R_{13}(t_{13})R_{12}(t_{12})$$
(2.3)

and

$$R(t) = PR(t)P, (2.4)$$

$$R(-\eta) = -2\mathcal{P}^-,\tag{2.5}$$

$$R_{12}(t)R_{21}(-t) = 1, (2.6)$$

$$R_{12}(t)\mathcal{P}_{23}^{-} = -R_{13}(-t-\eta)\mathcal{P}_{23}^{-}.$$
(2.7)

In (2.5),  $\mathcal{P}^- = (1 - P)/2$  denotes the projection onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by

$$s := v_+ \otimes v_- - v_- \otimes v_+ \in V \otimes V_-$$

We will also use

$$\check{R}(t) = PR(t).$$

#### 2.2. Sklyanin algebra

Along with the R matrix, we will need the L-operator whose entries are generators of the Sklyanin algebra [35, 36].

Recall that the Sklyanin algebra A is an associative unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra defined through four generators  $S_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) and quadratic relations

$$[S_0, S_a] = iJ_{bc}(S_b S_c + S_c S_b),$$
(2.8)

$$[S_b, S_c] = \mathbf{i}(S_0 S_a + S_a S_0), \tag{2.9}$$

where (a, b, c) runs over cyclic permutations of (1, 2, 3). The  $J_{bc}$  are the structure constants given by

$$J_{bc} = -\frac{J_b - J_c}{J_a} = \varepsilon_a \frac{\theta_1(\eta)^2 \theta_{a+1}(\eta)^2}{\theta_{b+1}(\eta)^2 \theta_{c+1}(\eta)^2},$$
(2.10)

$$J_a = \frac{\theta_{a+1}(2\eta)\theta_{a+1}}{\theta_{a+1}(\eta)^2},$$
(2.11)

where

$$\varepsilon_2 = -1, \qquad \varepsilon_\alpha = 1 (\alpha \neq 2).$$

Here and after, theta functions without arguments stand for the theta zero values,  $\theta_a = \theta_a(0)$  and  $\theta'_1 = \theta'_1(0)$ .

Since the defining relations are homogeneous,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded algebra,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n$ , where the generators  $S_a$  all belong to  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . We also have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  grading,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{A}^{(m,n)}$ , defined by the assignment  $S_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ , where

$$\overline{0} = (0, 0), \qquad \overline{1} = (1, 0), \qquad \overline{2} = (1, 1), \qquad \overline{3} = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$
 (2.12)

To make distinction, the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  grading and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  grading will be referred to as 'degree' and 'colour', respectively. Thus,  $S_{\alpha}$  has degree 1 and colour  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

There are two central elements of degree 2 and colour  $\overline{0}$ :

$$K_0 := \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 S_{\alpha}^2, \qquad K_2 := \sum_{a=1}^3 J_a S_a^2.$$
 (2.13)

We call them Casimir elements.

Introduce the generating function (L-operator)

$$L(t) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \frac{\theta_{\alpha+1}(2t+\eta)}{\theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)} S_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \operatorname{End}(V).$$

The defining relations (2.8) and (2.9) are equivalent to

$$R_{12}(t-s)L_1(t)L_2(s) = L_2(s)L_1(t)R_{12}(t-s).$$
(2.14)

From (2.13), we have

$$L_1\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)L_2\left(\frac{t}{2}-\eta\right)\mathcal{P}_{12}^- = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\theta_1(\eta-t)\theta_1(\eta+t)}{\theta_1(\eta)^2}K_0 + \frac{\theta_1(t)^2}{\theta_1(\eta)^2}K_2\right)\mathcal{P}_{12}^-.$$
 (2.15)

We will be concerned with representations in series (a) of [36], which are analogues of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . For each non-negative integer *k*, let  $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$  denote the vector space of entire functions f(u) with the properties

$$f(u+1) = f(u) = f(-u),$$
  $f(u+\tau) = e^{-2\pi i k(2u+\tau)} f(u).$ 

We have dim  $\mathcal{V}^{(k)} = k + 1$ . The following formula defines a representation  $\pi^{(k)} : \mathcal{A} \to \text{End}(\mathcal{V}^{(k)})$  [36]<sup>10</sup>:

$$(\pi^{(k)}(S_{\alpha})f)(u) = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)}{\theta_1(2\eta)\theta_1(2u)} (\theta_{\alpha+1}(2u-k\eta)e^{\eta\partial_u} - \theta_{\alpha+1}(-2u-k\eta)e^{-\eta\partial_u})f(u).$$
(2.16)

<sup>10</sup> We have modified equation (6) of [36] by a factor  $\theta_1(2\eta)$ .

Here,  $\sqrt{\varepsilon_2} = i$ ,  $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} = 1$  ( $\alpha \neq 2$ ) and  $(e^{\pm \eta \partial_u} f)(u) = f(u \pm \eta)$ . In particular, if k = 1, then in an appropriate basis we have

$$\pi^{(1)}(S_{\alpha}) = \sigma^{\alpha}, \qquad (\pi^{(1)} \otimes \operatorname{id})L(t) = r(t)$$

On  $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$ , the Casimir elements  $K_0$  and  $K_2$  act as scalars  $K_0(k+1)$  and  $K_2(k+1)$ , respectively, where

$$K_0(\lambda) = 4 \frac{\theta_1(\lambda \eta)^2}{\theta_1(2\eta)^2}, \qquad K_2(\lambda) = 4 \frac{\theta_1(\lambda \eta + \eta)\theta_1(\lambda \eta - \eta)}{\theta_1(2\eta)^2}.$$
(2.17)

## 2.3. The functional $Tr_{\lambda}$

In order to formulate the ansatz, we need to consider the trace  $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{V}^{(k)}}\pi^{(k)}(A)$  of an element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  as a function of the dimension k + 1. The precise meaning is as follows.

For each  $A \in A$ , one can assign a unique entire function  $\text{Tr}_{\lambda}A$  in  $\lambda$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}A|_{\lambda=k+1} = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{V}^{(k)}}\pi^{(k)}(A)$  holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .
- (ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda} A$  has the functional form

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t}{\eta}} A = \theta_1(t)^n \times \begin{cases} g_{A,0}(t) & (n: \text{ odd}), \\ g_{A,1}(t) - \frac{t}{\eta} g_{A,2}(t) & (n: \text{ even}), \end{cases}$$
(2.18)

where  $g_{A,0}(t)$ ,  $g_{A,2}(t)$  and  $g_{A,3}(t) := g_{A,1}(t + \tau) - g_{A,1}(t)$  are elliptic functions with periods 1,  $\tau$ . In addition,  $g_{A,1}(t + 1) = g_{A,1}(t)$ .

For example,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\lambda} 1 = \lambda, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha} = 2\delta_{\alpha 0}\frac{\theta_{1}(\lambda\eta)}{\theta_{1}(2\eta)},\tag{2.20}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}^{2} = \frac{2}{\theta_{1}^{\prime}\theta_{1}(2\eta)^{3}}(F_{\alpha1}(\lambda\eta) - \lambda F_{\alpha2}(\lambda\eta)), \qquad (2.21)$$

where

$$F_{\alpha 1}(t) = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\theta_{\alpha+1}(t+\eta)\theta_{\alpha+1}(t-\eta)), \qquad (2.22)$$

$$F_{\alpha 2}(t) = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (\theta_{\alpha+1}(t+\eta)\theta_{\alpha+1}(t-\eta)).$$
(2.23)

For reference, we set

$$F_{\alpha3}(t) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)^2\theta_{\alpha+1}(t+\eta)\theta_{\alpha+1}(t-\eta).$$
(2.24)

 $Tr_{\lambda}$  also satisfies

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(AB) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(BA), \tag{2.25}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(K_{i}A) = K_{i}(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(A) \qquad (i = 0, 2), \qquad (2.26)$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda} A = 0$$
  $(A \in \mathcal{A}^{(m,n)}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)).$  (2.27)

The derivation of (2.27) as well as (2.20) and (2.21) is sketched in appendix C. In appendix A we show that, for generic  $\eta$ , any element  $A \in \mathcal{A} / \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} [S_{\alpha}, \mathcal{A}]$  can be written as a  $\mathbb{C}[K_0, K_2]$ -linear combination of seven monomials: 1,  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_0^2$ ,  $S_3^2$ . Hence,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda} A$  is completely

determined by the properties (2.25)–(2.27) along with (2.19)–(2.21). However, an effective algorithm for the reduction is not known to us. The situation is in sharp contrast to the trigonometric case, where a simple recursive procedure for calculating  $Tr_{\lambda}$  is available (see [4]). It would be useful if one can find a more direct expression for the trace using *Q*-operators, as is done for the XXZ model in [22].

In this connection, note that by the above rule,  $\text{Tr}_{\lambda}A$  has a simpler structure for elements of odd degree than those of even degree, since in the former case it is a polynomial of  $\theta_1(t+c)$  $(c \in \mathbb{C})$  without involving derivatives. The subtle difference between finite spin chains with odd length and those with even length has been noted in the context of *Q*-operators [27, 9, 21].

## 2.4. The ansatz

Consider an inhomogeneous eight-vertex model, where each column *i* (resp. row *j*) carries a spectral parameter  $t_i$  (resp. 0). The Boltzmann weights are given by the entries  $R_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon'_1,\varepsilon'_2}(t_i)$  of the *R* matrix (2.1). We choose the normalizing factor  $\rho(t)$  in (2.1) in accordance with the two regimes:

(i)  $\eta, t \in \mathbb{R}, -i\eta > 0$  (disordered regime); (ii)  $\eta, t \in \mathbb{R}, \eta < 0$  (ordered regime).

In the disordered regime,  $\rho(t) = \rho^{\text{dis}}(t)$  is given by [1]

$$\rho^{\text{dis}}(t) := e^{-2\pi i t} \times \frac{\gamma(2\eta - 2t)}{\gamma(2\eta + 2t)} \frac{\gamma(4\eta + 2t)}{\gamma(4\eta - 2t)},$$

$$\gamma(u) = \Gamma(u, 4\eta, \tau),$$
(2.28)

where

$$\Gamma(u,\sigma,\tau) := \prod_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}((j+1)\tau + (k+1)\sigma - u}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}(j\tau + k\sigma + u)}}$$

is the elliptic gamma function [28]. In the ordered regime, the formula for  $\rho(t) = \rho^{\text{ord}}(t)$  is changed to

$$\rho^{\text{ord}}(t) = e^{-4\pi i \eta t/\tau} \rho'(t'), \qquad (2.29)$$

where  $\rho'(t')$  is given by the right-hand side of (2.28) with  $t, \eta, \tau$  being replaced by

$$t' = \frac{t}{\tau}, \qquad \eta' = \frac{\eta}{\tau}, \qquad \tau' = -\frac{1}{\tau}, \tag{2.30}$$

respectively.

By correlation functions we mean the ground-state averages  $\langle \sigma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{\alpha_n} \rangle$  of a product of spin operators on consecutive columns  $1, \ldots, n$  on a same row of the lattice. The thermodynamic limit is assumed. We arrange them into a matrix

$$h_n(t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n=0}^3\langle\sigma_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\sigma_n^{\alpha_n}\rangle(\sigma^{\alpha_1})^T\otimes\cdots\otimes(\sigma^{\alpha_n})^T\in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes n}),$$

where  $(\sigma_i^{\alpha})^T = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \sigma_i^{\alpha}$  stands for the transposed matrix. Because of the 'Z-invariance' [2], it does not depend on  $t_i$  with i < 1 or i > n. When  $t_1 = \cdots = t_n$ , each  $\langle \sigma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{\alpha_n} \rangle$  is a correlation function of the infinite XYZ spin chain

$$H_{XYZ} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( I^1 \sigma_j^1 \sigma_{j+1}^1 + I^2 \sigma_j^2 \sigma_{j+1}^2 + I^3 \sigma_j^3 \sigma_{j+1}^3 \right)$$

at zero temperature (the coefficients  $I^a$  will be given below in (4.5)).  $h_n$  may be viewed as the density matrix of a finite sub-system of length n, regarding the rest of the spins as an environment.

From now on, we fix *n* and write  $\overline{j} = 2n - j + 1$ . We regard  $h_n$  as a  $2^{2n}$ -dimensional vector through the identification  $\text{End}(V^{\otimes n}) \simeq V^{\otimes 2n}$  given by

$$E_{\varepsilon_{1},\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes E_{\varepsilon_{n},\bar{\varepsilon}_{n}}\mapsto \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\bar{\varepsilon}_{j}\right)v_{\varepsilon_{1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_{n}}\otimes v_{-\bar{\varepsilon}_{n}}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}},$$
(2.31)

where  $E_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'} = (\delta_{\varepsilon,\alpha}\delta_{\varepsilon',\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=\pm}$ .

Let us explain the constituents which enter the ansatz.

First, we define three functions in terms of the factor  $\rho(t)$  (given in (2.28) or (2.29) by

$$\omega_1(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \varphi(t), \qquad \omega_2(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log \varphi(t), \qquad \omega_3(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log \varphi(t), \qquad (2.32)$$

where we have set

$$\varphi(t) := \rho(t)^4 \cdot \frac{\theta_1(2\eta - 2t)}{\theta_1(2\eta + 2t)}.$$

They are a meromorphic solution of the system of difference equations

$$\omega_1(t - \eta) + \omega_1(t) = q_1(t),$$
  

$$\omega_2(t - \eta) + \omega_2(t) - \omega_1(t - \eta) = q_2(t),$$
  

$$\omega_3(t - \eta) + \omega_3(t) = q_3(t),$$

where

$$q_1(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \psi(t), \qquad q_2(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log \psi(t), \qquad q_3(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log \psi(t)$$
(2.33)  
and

$$\psi(t) := \frac{\theta_1(2t)^3 \theta_1(2t - 4\eta)}{\theta_1(2t - 2\eta)^3 \theta_1(2t + 2\eta)}$$

The next ingredient are the matrices  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$   $(a = 1, 2, 3, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n)$ . Consider a 'transfer matrix'

$$\widehat{X}_{n}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) := \frac{1}{[t_{1,2}]\prod_{p=3}^{n}[t_{1,p}][t_{2,p}]} \operatorname{Tr}_{t_{1,2}/\eta} \left( T_{n}^{[1]}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2};t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}\right) \right) P_{12}\mathcal{P}_{1\bar{1}}^{-}\mathcal{P}_{2\bar{2}}^{-}.$$
(2.34)

We used the functional  $Tr_{\lambda}$  introduced in the previous section and

 $T_n^{[1]}(t; t_1, \ldots, t_n) := L_{\bar{2}}(t - t_2 - \eta) \cdots L_{\bar{n}}(t - t_n - \eta) L_n(t - t_n) \cdots L_2(t - t_2).$ 

Note the presence of the permutation  $P_{12}$  and the projectors  $\mathcal{P}_{1\bar{1}}^- \mathcal{P}_{2\bar{2}}^-$  in (2.34). For i < j, we define

$$\widehat{X}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) = \widehat{X}_{n}^{(j,i)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) := \mathbb{R}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) \\
\times \widehat{X}_{n}(t_{i},t_{j},t_{1},\ldots,\widehat{t_{i}},\ldots,\widehat{t_{j}},\ldots,t_{n}) \mathbb{R}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})^{-1}.$$
(2.35)

Here,  $\mathbb{R}_n^{(i,j)}$  stands for the product of *R* matrices

$$\mathbb{R}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) := \check{R}_{i,i-1}(t_{i,i-1})\cdots\check{R}_{2,1}(t_{i,1}) \\ \times\check{R}_{j,j-1}(t_{j,j-1})\cdots\check{R}_{i+2,i+1}(t_{j,i+1})\cdot\check{R}_{i+1,i}(t_{j,i-1})\cdots\check{R}_{3,2}(t_{j,1}) \\ \times\check{R}_{\overline{i-1},\overline{i}}(t_{i-1,i})\cdots\check{R}_{\overline{12}}(t_{1,i}) \\ \times\check{R}_{\overline{j-1},\overline{j}}(t_{j-1,j})\cdots\check{R}_{\overline{i+1},\overline{i+2}}(t_{i+1,j})\cdot\check{R}_{\overline{i},\overline{i+1}}(t_{i-1,j})\cdots\check{R}_{\overline{2},\overline{3}}(t_{1,j}).$$
(2.36)

Finally, for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(ij)}$  are defined by

$$c\widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) := \widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) - t_{ij}\Delta_1^{(i)}\widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n), \qquad (2.37)$$

$$c\widehat{X}_{2,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = -\eta \Delta_1^{(i)} \widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n),$$
(2.38)

$$c\widehat{X}_{3,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) := \Delta_{\tau}^{(i)}\widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) - \tau \Delta_1^{(i)}\widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n), \quad (2.39)$$

where  $c = -2\theta_1'/\theta_1(2\eta)$  and

$$\Delta_a^{(i)} f(\ldots, t_i, \ldots) = f(\ldots, t_i + a, \ldots) - f(\ldots, t_i, \ldots).$$

As we show in appendix D,  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(ij)}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  are doubly periodic in  $t_k$  with periods 1,  $\tau$ . The only exception is the case a = 1, k = i or j and with respect to the shift by  $\tau$ , where the transformation law becomes

$$\Delta_{\tau}^{(i)} \widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \Delta_{-\tau}^{(j)} \widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \widehat{X}_{3,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n).$$

Conversely, we have

$$\widehat{X}_{n}^{(ij)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})=c\left(\widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})-\frac{t_{ij}}{\eta}\widehat{X}_{2,n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})\right)$$

We compute the trace in formula (2.35) by using formulae (2.17) and (2.21). The separation of  $\widehat{X}_{n}^{(i,j)}$  into two parts  $\widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}$  and  $\widehat{X}_{2,n}^{(i,j)}$  comes from that of  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}^{2}$  into  $F_{\alpha 1}(\lambda \eta)$  and  $F_{\alpha 2}(\lambda \eta)$ . Note that  $\widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(j,i)} = \widehat{X}_{1,n}^{(i,j)}$  and  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(j,i)} = -\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  for a = 2, 3. We are now in a position to state our conjecture. Let

$$\mathbf{s}_n := \prod_{p=1}^n s_{p\bar{p}}$$

be the vector corresponding to the identity by the map (2.31).

Conjecture. Correlation functions of the inhomogeneous eight-vertex model are given by the formula

$$h_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 2^{-n} \exp\left(\sum_{i < j} \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a(t_{ij}) \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1, \dots, t_n)\right) \mathbf{s}_n,$$
(2.40)

where  $\omega_a(t)$  and  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  are defined, respectively, by (2.32) and (2.34)–(2.39).

# 3. Reduced qKZ equation

 $h_n$  is known to satisfy the following set of equations [16]:

$$h_{n}(\dots, t_{j+1}, t_{j}, \dots) = \check{R}_{j, j+1}(t_{j, j+1})\check{R}_{\overline{j+1}, \overline{j}}(t_{j+1, j})h_{n}(\dots, t_{j}, t_{j+1}, \dots)$$

$$(1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

$$(3.1)$$

$$h_n(\dots, t_j - \eta, \dots) = A_n^{(j)}(t_1, \dots, t_n)h_n(\dots, t_j, \dots),$$
(3.2)

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,\bar{1}}^{-} \cdot h_n(t_1,\ldots,t_n)_{1,\ldots,n,\bar{n},\ldots,\bar{1}} = \frac{1}{2} s_{1\bar{1}} h_{n-1}(t_2,\ldots,t_n)_{2,\ldots,n,\bar{n},\ldots,\bar{2}}.$$
(3.3)

Here,

$$A_{n}^{(j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) = (-1)^{n} R_{j,j-1}(t_{j,j-1}-\eta) \cdots R_{j,1}(t_{j,1}-\eta) R_{\overline{j},\overline{j+1}}(t_{j,j+1}-\eta) \cdots \\ \times R_{\overline{j},\overline{n}}(t_{j,n}-\eta) P_{j,\overline{j}} R_{j,n}(t_{j,n}) \cdots R_{j,j+1}(t_{j,j+1}) R_{\overline{j},\overline{1}}(t_{j,1}) \cdots R_{\overline{j},\overline{j-1}}(t_{j,j-1}).$$
(3.4)

In this section, assuming a conjectural identity, we explain that these relations are valid also for the ansatz.

# 3.1. Properties of $\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(i,j)}$

Consider the expression

$$\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a(t_{ij}) \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n).$$

which enters the ansatz (2.40). In [4], for the XXZ model, the following relations are derived. *Exchange relation*:

$$\check{R}_{k,k+1}(t_{k,k+1})\check{R}_{\overline{k+1},\overline{k}}(t_{k+1,k})\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(\ldots,t_{k},t_{k+1},\ldots) 
= \widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(\pi_{k}(i),\pi_{k}(j))}(\ldots,t_{k+1},t_{k},\ldots)\check{R}_{k,k+1}(t_{k,k+1})\check{R}_{\overline{k+1},\overline{k}}(t_{k+1,k}),$$
(3.5)

Here,  $\pi_k$  signifies the transposition (k, k + 1).

Difference equations:

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{k}-\eta,\ldots,t_{n}) = A_{n}^{(k)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})A_{n}^{(k)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})^{-1} \quad (k \neq i,j), 
\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{i}-\eta,\ldots,t_{n})\mathbf{s}_{n} = A_{n}^{(i)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})(\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})+\widehat{Y}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}))\mathbf{s}_{n}.$$
(3.6)

In the last line, we have set

$$\widehat{Y}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) := \sum_{a=1}^{3} q_{a}(t_{ij}) \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}),$$

where  $q_a(t)$  are given by (2.33).

Recurrence relation:

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,\bar{1}}^{-}\widehat{\Omega}_{n}^{(i,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (1=i < j \le n), \\ \widehat{\Omega}_{n-1}^{(i-1,j-1)}(t_{2},\ldots,t_{n})_{2,\ldots,n,\bar{n},\ldots,\bar{2}}\mathcal{P}_{1,\bar{1}}^{-} & (2 \le i < j \le n). \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

Commutativity: For distinct indices i, j, k, l,

$$\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n)\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(k,l)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \widehat{\Omega}_n^{(k,l)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n)\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n).$$
(3.8)

Nilpotency:

$$\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(i,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n)\widehat{\Omega}_n^{(k,l)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad \{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} \neq \emptyset.$$
(3.9)

The proofs of these relations given in [4] are based only on the properties (2.3) and (2.4)–(2.7) of the *R* matrix and (2.14) and (2.15) of the *L*-operator. Hence, they carry over to the elliptic case as well.

As is shown in [4], proposition 4.1, equations (3.5)–(3.9) guarantee the validity of the fundamental properties (3.1)–(3.3) for the ansatz, provided one additional identity holds.

Cancellation identity:

$$\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \widehat{Y}_{n}^{(1,j)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) + \left(A_{n}^{(1)}(t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})^{-1} - 1\right)\right)\mathbf{s}_{n} = 0.$$
(3.10)

So far we have not been able to prove the cancellation identity. In the next subsection, we suggest a possible approach towards its proof.

#### 3.2. Cancellation identity

Set

$$Q_n^{(i)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \left(\sum_{j=2}^n \widehat{Y}_n^{(1,j)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) + \left(A_n^{(1)}(t_1,\ldots,t_n)^{-1} - 1\right)\right) \mathbf{s}_n.$$
 (3.11)

We regard it as a matrix via the isomorphism (2.31).

Besides the obvious translation invariance,  $Q_n = Q_n^{(1)}$  has the following properties:

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n} \theta_1(2t_{1,j}) \cdot Q_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ is entire,}$$
(3.12)

$$Q_n\left(\dots,t_j+\frac{1}{2},\dots\right) = \sigma_j^1 Q_n(\dots,t_j,\dots)\sigma_j^1 \qquad (1 \le j \le n),$$
(3.13)

$$Q_n\left(\ldots,t_j+\frac{\tau}{2},\ldots\right)=\sigma_j^3Q_n(\ldots,t_j,\ldots)\sigma_j^3\qquad(1\leqslant j\leqslant n),$$
(3.14)

$$\check{R}_{j,j+1}(t_{j,j+1})Q_n(\dots,t_j,t_{j+1},\dots) = Q_n(\dots,t_{j+1},t_j,\dots)\check{R}_{j,j+1}(t_{j,j+1}) \qquad (2 \le j \le n-1),$$
(3.15)

$$Q_n(t_1,\ldots,t_{n-1},t_n)\mathcal{P}_{n-1,n}^-|_{t_{n-1}=t_n+\eta} = Q_{n-2}(t_1,\ldots,t_{n-2})\mathcal{P}_{n-1,n}^-,$$
(3.16)

$$\operatorname{tr}_1 Q_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0,$$
 (3.17)

$$\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{n}(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) = Q_{n-1}(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n-1}). \tag{3.18}$$

These relations are verified in a way similar to those in [4]. The derivation of (3.13)–(3.14) rests on the transformation laws of  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$ , which we discuss in appendix D.

From the properties (3.12)–(3.14),  $Q_n$  can be written as

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n} \theta_1(2t_{1j}) \times Q_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n=0}^{3} \kappa_{\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{\alpha_j+1}(2t_{1j})}{\theta_{\alpha_j+1}(\eta)} \sigma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{\alpha_n},$$
(3.19)

with some  $\kappa_{\alpha_n,...,\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Terms with  $\alpha_1 = 0$  are actually absent in the sum, in accordance with (3.17). For convenience, we set  $\kappa_{\alpha_n,...,\alpha_2,0} = 0$ . Note that (3.13), (3.14) and the translation invariance imply

$$\kappa_{\alpha_n,\dots,\alpha_1} = 0 \qquad \text{unless} \qquad \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_j = (0,0). \tag{3.20}$$

By induction, assume  $Q_m = 0$  for m < n. We are going to argue that  $Q_n$  is then determined up to a multiplicative constant (see lemma 3.1).

By (3.16), the induction hypothesis and (3.15), we have

$$Q_n(\dots, t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots) \mathcal{P}_{j,j+1}^-|_{t_j = t_{j+1} + \eta} = 0 \qquad (2 \le j \le n-1).$$
(3.21)

By (3.18), we may also assume

$$\kappa_{\alpha_n,\dots,\alpha_1} = 0$$
 unless  $\alpha_n \neq 0.$  (3.22)

Quite generally, consider a matrix of the form

$$U_{1,2}(u,v) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{3} \kappa_{\beta\alpha} \frac{\theta_{\alpha+1}(2u)}{\theta_{\alpha+1}(\eta)} \frac{\theta_{\beta+1}(2v)}{\theta_{\beta+1}(\eta)} \sigma_{1}^{\alpha} \sigma_{2}^{\beta}$$

Then, the relations

$$\dot{R}_{12}(u-v)U_{1,2}(u,v) = U_{1,2}(v,u)\dot{R}_{12}(u-v), \qquad (3.23)$$

$$U_{1,2}(u+\eta,u)\mathcal{P}_{1,2}^{-} = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

are equivalent to the following relations for the coefficients  $\kappa_{ba}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{a,0} - \kappa_{0,a} &= i J_{bc} (\kappa_{c,b} + \kappa_{b,c}), & \kappa_{b,a} - \kappa_{a,b} &= i (\kappa_{c,0} + \kappa_{0,c}), \\ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \kappa_{\alpha,\alpha} &= 0, & \sum_{a=1}^{3} J_{a} \kappa_{a,a} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, *a*, *b*, *c* are cyclic permutations of 1, 2, 3, and  $J_a$ ,  $J_{bc}$  are as in (2.10) and (2.11). The above relations have the same form as those derived from the quadratic relations (2.8) and (2.9) and from the Casimir elements (2.13), respectively. Consider the quotient  $\overline{A}$  of the Sklyanin algebra modulo the relations that the Casimir elements are zero. This is a graded algebra,

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_n.$$

From the above considerations, one easily concludes that there exist three linear functionals  $\kappa_a$  (a = 1, 2, 3) on  $\overline{A}_{n-1}$  such that

$$\kappa_{\alpha_n,\ldots,\alpha_2,a} = \kappa_a (S_{\alpha_n} \cdots S_{\alpha_2}),$$

which satisfy the additional condition

$$\kappa_a(S_0A) = 0.$$

In the Sklyanin algebra with generic parameter  $\eta$ , any monomial  $S_{\alpha_2} \cdots S_{\alpha_n}$  can be reduced to a linear combination of ordered monomials  $S_0^{\nu_0} S_3^{\nu_3} S_1^{\nu_2} S_2^{\nu_1}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ , by using the quadratic relations and Casimir elements (PBW basis) [10, 11]. Together with (3.20), this means that each functional  $\kappa_a$  is defined by one constant, that is,

$$\kappa_1(S_3^{n-2}S_1), \quad \kappa_2(S_3^{n-2}S_2), \quad \kappa_3(S_3^{n-1}) \qquad \text{for } n \text{ even}, \\ \kappa_1(S_3^{n-2}S_2), \quad \kappa_2(S_3^{n-2}S_1), \quad \kappa_3(S_3^{n-3}S_1S_2) \qquad \text{for } n \text{ odd.}$$
(3.25)

There remain *three* coefficients. In order to finish the proof of the cancellation identity, it remains to show that these coefficients vanish.

In addition to (3.15), we have also the relation

$$\check{R}_{12}(\lambda_{12})Q_n(t_1, t_2, \ldots)\check{R}_{12}(\lambda_{12})^{-1} = Q_n^{(2)}(t_2, t_1, \ldots).$$

The poles of the R matrix on the left-hand side are not the poles of  $Q_n^{(2)}$ . This entails the relation

$$\mathcal{P}_{12}^- Q_n(t_1, t_2, \ldots) r_{12}(1) = 0$$

which can be rewritten in terms of functionals  $\kappa_a$  as follows:

$$2\kappa_a (AS_0) - i(1 + J_{bc})\kappa_c (AS_b) + i(1 - J_{bc})\kappa_b (AS_c) = 0, \qquad \forall A \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{n-2}.$$
(3.26)

These equations can be viewed as a system of linear equations for three constants (3.25). Certainly, these equations are not explicit since for every *A* we have to perform the procedure

of reducing to PBW form. This huge system of homogeneous linear equations does not allow us to prove that the constants in question vanish; rather they reduce them to one constant. Let us explain this point. First, it is clear that equations (3.26) correspond to the following relation in  $\overline{A}_n$ :

$$2S_0S_a - i(1 + J_{bc})S_bS_c + i(1 - J_{bc})S_cS_b = 0,$$

obtained by solving (2.8) and (2.9) for  $S_0S_a$ . So, it is easy to see that all our equations including (3.26) are satisfied by the following construction. Consider a linear functional  $\kappa$  on  $\bar{A}_n$  such that  $\kappa (S_{\alpha_1} \cdots S_{\alpha_n}) = 0$  unless  $\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_j = (0, 0)$ , and

$$\kappa(AS_0) = 0.$$

Then, all the requirements are satisfied by

$$\kappa_a(A) = \kappa(AS_a).$$

On the other hand, the number of solutions to the system of linear equations (3.26) for three constants cannot be bigger for arbitrary  $\eta$  than it is for  $\eta = 0$ . In the latter case, the algebra is commutative (see appendix A, notably (A.4) and equations (3.26) become

$$\kappa_a^{\rm cl}(S_b A) = \kappa_b^{\rm cl}(S_a A),$$

with an additional condition  $\kappa_a^{cl}(S_0A) = 0$ . It is easy to see that this gives a system of three equations for three constants whose rank equals 2.

Thus, we come to the conclusion

Lemma 3.1. Under the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\kappa_{\alpha_n,\ldots,\alpha_1} = \kappa (S_{\alpha_n} \cdots S_{\alpha_1}),$$

where  $\kappa$  is a linear functional on  $\overline{A}_n$  satisfying

$$\kappa(S_{\alpha_n}\cdots S_{\alpha_1})=0$$
 unless  $\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_j = (0,0), \qquad \kappa(AS_0) = \kappa(S_0A) = 0,$ 

and as such is defined by one constant:

$$\kappa(S_3^n)$$
 for *n* even,  
 $\kappa(S_3^{n-2}S_1S_2)$  for *n* odd.

Unfortunately, we were not able to show that this remaining constant equals zero. The problem is still open.

#### 4. Examples

In this section we write down the ansatz in the simplest cases n = 2, 3. We also consider the trigonometric limit.

4.1. *The case* n = 2

In the case n = 2,  $\Omega_2^{(1,2)}(t_1, t_2)$  can be readily found from (2.21). The function  $h_2(t_1, t_2)$  is given as follows:

$$h_2(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4[t_{12}]} \sum_{a=1}^3 H_{a+1}(2t_{12})\sigma^a \otimes \sigma^a,$$

where

$$H_{a+1}(2t) := \frac{\varepsilon_a \theta_{a+1}^2 \theta_{a+1}(2\eta) \theta_{a+1}(2t)}{4(\theta_1')^2 \theta_1(2\eta)^2} \left( \frac{\theta_{a+1}'(2t)}{\theta_{a+1}(2t)} \omega_1(t) + \frac{\theta_{a+1}'(2\eta)}{\theta_{a+1}(2\eta)} \omega_2(t) - 4\pi i \omega_3(t) \right).$$

This gives the formula for the nearest-neighbour correlators of the inhomogeneous chain:

$$\left\langle \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^a \right\rangle = -\frac{\theta_1(2\eta)}{\theta_1(2t)} H_{a+1}(2t), \tag{4.1}$$

where a = 1, 2, 3 and  $t = t_{12}$ . Noting that  $H_{a+1}(2t)$  is odd in t, we obtain  $\langle \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^a \rangle = -\theta_1(2\eta)H'_{a+1}(0)/\theta'_1$  in the homogeneous limit  $t \to 0$ , or more explicitly we have

$$\left\langle \sigma_{1}^{a}\sigma_{2}^{a}\right\rangle = -\frac{\varepsilon_{a}\theta_{a+1}^{2}}{8\theta_{1}^{\prime3}\theta_{1}(2\eta)} \left(2\theta_{a+1}^{\prime\prime}(0)\theta_{a+1}(2\eta) + \theta_{a+1}\theta_{a+1}^{\prime}(2\eta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} - 4\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{a+1}\theta_{a+1}(2\eta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\right)\omega_{1}(0).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Let us check formula (4.2) against known results. As is well known, the XYZ Hamiltonian is obtained by differentiating the transfer matrix of the eight-vertex model

 $T_L(t) = \operatorname{tr}(R_{0L}(t) \cdots R_{01}(t))$ 

a (**a** )

as

$$T_L(0)^{-1}T'_L(0) = \sum_{j=1}^L \left(\sum_{a=1}^3 v'_a(0)\sigma^a_j\sigma^a_{j+1}\right) + Lv'_0(0),$$
(4.3)

where *L* is the length of the chain, and we have set  $\check{R}(t) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} v_{\alpha}(t)\sigma^{\alpha} \otimes \sigma^{\alpha}$ . As it was mentioned already, the *R* matrix (2.1) is so normalized that in the thermodynamic limit  $L \to \infty$  the free energy per site of the eight-vertex model is zero. Therefore, taking the ground-state average of (4.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3} I^{a} \langle \sigma_{1}^{a} \sigma_{2}^{a} \rangle = -I^{0},$$
(4.4)

with  $I^{\alpha} = v'_{\alpha}(0)\theta_1(2\eta)/\theta'_1$ . Explicitly, we have

$$I^{a} = \frac{\theta_{a+1}(2\eta)}{\theta_{a+1}} \quad (a = 1, 2, 3),$$

$$I^{0} = \frac{\theta_{1}(2\eta)}{\theta_{1}'} \frac{1}{4} \omega_{1}(0).$$
(4.5)

The average over the normalized ground state has the property  $\delta \langle H_{XYZ} \rangle = \langle \delta H_{XYZ} \rangle$ , where  $\delta$  stands for the variation of the coefficients  $I^a$ . Hence, we have in addition

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{\partial I^{a}}{\partial \eta} \langle \sigma_{1}^{a} \sigma_{2}^{a} \rangle = -\frac{\partial I^{0}}{\partial \eta}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{\partial I^{a}}{\partial \tau} \langle \sigma_{1}^{a} \sigma_{2}^{a} \rangle = -\frac{\partial I^{0}}{\partial \tau}.$$
(4.7)

The nearest-neighbour correlators  $\langle \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^a \rangle$  are completely determined by the linear equations (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7). Using Riemann's identity and the heat equation  $4\pi i \partial \theta_{\alpha}(t|\tau)/\partial \tau = \partial^2 \theta_{\alpha}(t|\tau)/\partial t^2$ , one can verify that our formula (4.2) indeed gives the unique solution.

# 4.2. *The case* n = 3

Let us proceed to the next case n = 3. Written in full,  $h_3(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  reads

$$h_{3}(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}) = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{[t_{12}][t_{13}][t_{23}]} \sum_{\substack{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq (0, 0, 0)\\ \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} = \bar{0}}} \sigma^{\alpha} \otimes \sigma^{\beta} \otimes \sigma^{\gamma} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{(j,k)}(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}).$$

The coefficients  $I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{(j,k)}$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{split} I_{0,1,1}^{(1,2)} &= 0, \\ I_{1,0,1}^{(1,2)} &= \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2(2\eta)} \left\{ \frac{\theta_4(2t_{13})\theta_3(2t_{23})}{\theta_4(2\eta)\theta_3} H_3(2t_{12}) + \frac{\theta_3(2t_{13})\theta_4(2t_{23})}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} H_4(2t_{12}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,1,0}^{(1,2)} &= 2[t_{13}][t_{23}]H_2(2t_{12}), \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(1,2)} &= (-i) \left\{ [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_4(2t_{23})}{\theta_4(2\eta)} H_2(2t_{12}) - \frac{\theta_2\theta_3(2\eta)}{\theta_2(2\eta)\theta_3} \frac{\theta_4(2t_{13})}{\theta_4(2\eta)} [t_{23}]H_3(2t_{12}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,3,2}^{(1,2)} &= (-i) \left\{ \frac{\theta_2\theta_4(2\eta)}{\theta_2(2\eta)\theta_4} \frac{\theta_3(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(2\eta)} [t_{23}]H_4(2t_{12}) - [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_3(2t_{23})}{\theta_3(2\eta)} H_2(2t_{12}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,1,1}^{(1,3)} &= I_{1,1,0}^{(1,3)} = 0, \\ I_{1,1,1}^{(1,3)} &= I_{1,1,0}^{(1,3)} = 0, \\ I_{1,0,1}^{(1,3)} &= \frac{\theta_3^2(2\eta)\theta_4^2 + \theta_4^2(2\eta)\theta_3^2}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4(2\eta)} [t_{12}][t_{23}]H_2(2t_{13}) - \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} \frac{\theta_4(2t_{12})\theta_4(2t_{23})}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} H_3(2t_{13}) + \frac{\theta_3(2t_{12})\theta_3(2t_{23})}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_3} H_4(2t_{13}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(1,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_3} \frac{\theta_3(2t_{12})}{\theta_2(2\eta)} [t_{23}]H_4(2t_{13}) - [t_{12}] \frac{\theta_4}{\theta_3} \frac{\theta_3(2t_{23})}{\theta_4(2\eta)} H_2(2t_{13}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,3,2}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ [t_{12}] \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_4} \frac{\theta_4(2t_{23})}{\theta_3(2\eta)} H_2(2t_{13}) - \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_4} \frac{\theta_4(2t_{12})}{\theta_2(2\eta)} [t_{23}]H_3(2t_{13}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,1,0}^{(2,3)} &= 0, \\ I_{1,1,0}^{(2,3)} &= 2[t_{12}][t_{13}]H_2(2t_{23}), \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ \frac{\theta_3(2t_{12})\theta_4(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(\theta_4(2\eta)} H_3(2t_{23}) + \frac{\theta_4(2t_{12})\theta_3(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} H_4(2t_{23}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ \frac{\theta_3(2t_{12})\theta_4(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(\theta_4(2\eta)} H_3(2t_{23}) - [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{12})}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} H_4(2t_{23}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ \frac{\theta_3(2t_{12})\theta_4(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(\theta_4(2\eta)} H_2(2t_{13}) - [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{12})}{\theta_2(2\eta)} H_4(2t_{23}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,2,3}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(\theta_4(2\eta)} H_3(2t_{23}) - [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{12})}{\theta_2(2\eta)} H_4(2t_{23}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,3,2}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{13})}{\theta_3(\theta_4(2\eta)} H_3(2t_{23}) - [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{13})}{\theta_2(2\eta)} H_4(2t_{23}) \right\}, \\ I_{1,3,2}^{(2,3)} &= (-i) \left\{ [t_{13}] \frac{\theta_2(2t_{13})}{\theta_2(2t_{13})} H_3(2t_{23}) - \frac{\theta_$$

 $I_{1,3,2}^{(j)} = (-1) \left\{ [I_{13}] \frac{1}{\theta_2(2\eta)} H_3(2I_{2,3}) - \frac{1}{\theta_3(2\eta)\theta_4} \frac{1}{\theta_2(2\eta)} [I_{12}] H_4(2I_{23}) \right\}.$ The rest are given by the cyclic change  $1 \to 2 \to 3 \to 1$  of the indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  in  $I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{(j,k)}$  with the change  $2 \to 3 \to 4 \to 2$  of the indices in  $\theta_a$  and  $H_a$ . The correlators of the inhomogeneous chain are

$$\langle \sigma_1^{\alpha} \sigma_2^{\beta} \sigma_3^{\gamma} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2[t_{12}][t_{13}][t_{23}]} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{(j,k)}(t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

With the abbreviation  $H'_a = H'_a(0)$  and  $H'''_a = H'''_a(0)$ , we obtain a new formula for the next-nearest-neighbour correlators for the homogeneous chain

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \sigma_{1}^{a}\sigma_{3}^{a}\right\rangle &= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\theta_{1}(2\eta)}{\theta_{1}'} \left\{ 2\frac{\theta_{b+1}^{2}(2\eta)\theta_{c+1}^{2} + \theta_{c+1}^{2}(2\eta)\theta_{b+1}^{2}}{\theta_{b+1}\theta_{c+1}\theta_{b+1}(2\eta)\theta_{c+1}(2\eta)}H_{a+1}' \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\frac{\theta_{1}(2\eta)}{\theta_{1}'}\right)^{2}\frac{\theta_{a+1}}{\theta_{a+1}(2\eta)} \left\{\frac{\theta_{c+1}}{\theta_{c+1}(2\eta)}\left(\frac{\theta_{b+1}''}{\theta_{b+1}}H_{b+1}' + \frac{2\theta_{c+1}''}{\theta_{c+1}}H_{b+1}' - H_{b+1}'''\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\theta_{b+1}}{\theta_{b+1}(2\eta)}\left(\frac{\theta_{c+1}''}{\theta_{c+1}}H_{c+1}' + \frac{2\theta_{b+1}''}{\theta_{b+1}}H_{c+1}' - H_{c+1}'''\right) \right\} \right\}. \end{split}$$

We have in addition

$$\langle \sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b \sigma_3^c \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \sigma_1^c \sigma_2^b \sigma_3^a \rangle = 0.$$

In both formulae, (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2).

Lashkevich communicated to us a program for numerically calculating correlation functions from the integral formula of [25, 26]. For n = 2 and n = 3, we found agreement between their results and ours to within the precision of  $10^{-4}$ .

#### 4.3. Trigonometric limit

Finally, we briefly touch upon the trigonometric limit and discuss how various quantities which appear in (4.1) are related to the trigonometric counterpart.

First, we consider the limit to the massive regime. For this purpose, it is convenient to rewrite the *R* matrix in terms of the parameters t',  $\eta'$ ,  $\tau'$  in (2.30) as

$$R(t) = \frac{\rho'(t')}{[t' + \eta']'} (U \otimes U) r'(t') (U \otimes U)^{-1},$$

where  $[t']' = \theta_1(2t'|\tau')/\theta_1(2\eta'|\tau')$ , r'(t') is obtained from (2.2) by replacing  $t, \eta, \tau$  by  $t', \eta', \tau'$ , and  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . In the limit  $\tau' \to +i\infty$ , while keeping  $\lambda = t'/\eta'$  and  $\nu = 2\eta'$  fixed, the *R* matrix tends to

$$R_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda) = \rho_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda) \frac{r_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda)}{[\lambda+1]_{\rm XXZ}}.$$
(4.8)

In the above,  $[\lambda]_{XXZ} = \sin \pi \nu \lambda / \sin \pi \nu$  and

$$r_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sin\left(\lambda + 1/2\right)\pi\nu}{\sin\pi\nu/2} \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 + \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 + \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 + \frac{\cos\left(\lambda + 1/2\right)\pi\nu}{\cos\pi\nu/2} \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 \right),$$
(4.9)

$$\rho_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda) = -\zeta \frac{(q^2 \zeta^2)_{\infty} (\zeta^{-2})_{\infty}}{(q^2 \zeta^{-2})_{\infty} (\zeta^2)_{\infty}},\tag{4.10}$$

where  $\zeta = e^{\pi i \nu \lambda}$ ,  $q = e^{\pi i \nu}$ ,  $(x)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{4j}x)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\omega_1(t) \to \frac{8\pi}{\sin \pi \nu} \omega(\lambda), \qquad \omega_2(t) \to \frac{8\pi}{\sin \pi \nu} \tilde{\omega}(\lambda), \qquad \omega_3(t) \to 0,$$

where the functions  $\omega(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}(\lambda)$  are given by [4], equations (13.2)–(13.5) for the massive regime. Hence, the limit of (4.1) becomes

$$\lim_{\tau' \to i\infty} \langle \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^3 \rangle = -4 \left( \frac{q + q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2} \omega(\lambda) + \frac{\zeta + \zeta^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})(\zeta - \zeta^{-1})} \tilde{\omega}(\lambda) \right),$$

$$\lim_{\tau' \to i\infty} \langle \sigma_1^1 \sigma_2^1 \rangle = \lim_{\tau' \to i\infty} \langle \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \rangle = 2 \left( \frac{\zeta + \zeta^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2} \omega(\lambda) + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})(\zeta - \zeta^{-1})} \tilde{\omega}(\lambda) \right),$$
(4.11)

which reproduces the formulae in the massive regime (see [4], example in section 3).

Second, let us consider the limit to the massless regime. We set

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{\pi i}r, \qquad \eta = -\frac{\nu}{2\pi i}r, \qquad t = -\frac{\nu\lambda}{2\pi i}r$$

for a constant  $\nu$  (0 <  $\nu$  < 1) and take the limit  $r \downarrow 0$  with  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  fixed.

The limit of the *R* matrix is given by the same formulae (4.8)–(4.9), with  $\rho_{XXZ}(\lambda)$  being replaced by

$$\rho_{\rm XXZ}(\lambda) = -\frac{S_2(-\lambda)S_2(1+\lambda)}{S_2(\lambda)S_2(1-\lambda)}.$$

Here,  $S_2(x) = S_2(x|2, 1/\nu)$  signifies the double sine function. In the limit, we have

$$r\omega_1(t) \to -\frac{8\pi^2 i}{\sin \pi \nu}\omega(\lambda), \qquad r\omega_2(t) \to -\frac{8\pi^2 i}{\sin \pi \nu}\tilde{\omega}(\lambda),$$

where now  $\omega(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{\omega}(\lambda)$  stand for the functions given by [4], equations (13.2)–(13.5) for the massless regime. Moreover, we have

$$r \,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi^2}{r}} \left( t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \log \varphi \to 0.$$

From the formulae above, we see that in the massless limit, the function  $h_2(t_1, t_2)$  tends to the solution  $h_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  of the reduced qKZ equation given in [4].

#### Acknowledgments

Research of HB is supported by the RFFI grant #04-01-00352. Research of MJ is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research B2-16340033 and A2-14204012. Research of TM is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research A1-13304010. Research of FS is supported by INTAS grant #03-51-3350 and by EC networks 'EUCLID', contract number HPRN-CT-2002-00325, and 'ENIGMA', contract number MRTN-CT-2004-5652. Research of YT is supported by University of Tsukuba Research Project. This work was also supported by the grant of 21st Century COE Program at Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo and at RIMS, Kyoto University. MJ, TM and YT are grateful to K Saito for explaining his theory of simple-elliptic singularities. MJ thanks V Mangazeev, A Zabrodin, A Mironov, C Korff and R Weston for discussions. HB thanks M Lashkevich for tight cooperation in numerical checking. He also thanks F Göhmann, A Klümper and K Fabricius for discussions. Last but not least, HB and FS wish to thank The University of Tokyo for the invitation and hospitality where the present work was started, and HB, JM, TM and YT wish to thank Université Paris VI for hospitality, where this work was completed.

#### Appendix A. Existence of $Tr_{\lambda}$

For every finite-dimensional representation of the Sklyanin algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can define the trace, which is a functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  whose main property is cyclicity. In order to formulate our ansatz for correlation functions, we need an analytic continuation of this functional with respect to the dimension. We denote this analytic continuation by  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}A$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda = k + 1$  for  $\pi^{(k)}(A)$ . In section 2.3, we presented the formulae for  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}^2$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ). In this appendix, we discuss the general case. In fact, we prove that for generic parameters  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$ ,  $J_3$ , the definition of  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}A$  for general  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  can be reduced to these known cases.

Consider the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K}[K_0, K_2]$  with  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C}(J_1, J_2, J_3)$ . Here, we consider  $K_0, K_2, J_1, J_2, J_3$  as variables, whereas they are parametrized by  $\tau, \eta$  and  $\lambda$  in section 2 and appendix B. We use the parametrization in order to define finite-dimensional representations. The discussion in this appendix is mainly concerned with the algebraic relations in the Sklyanin algebra only.

We denote by A the Sklyanin algebra defined over the field K. It is a graded vector space,

$$\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n, \qquad \dim \mathbf{A}_n < \infty.$$

Multiplication by the central elements (2.13) endows **A** with an **F**-algebra structure. Suppose we try to define some **F**-linear functional Tr on **A** which satisfies cyclicity Tr(AB) = Tr(BA). Then, the question is, for how many independent elements of **A** this functional should be defined. In other words, describe the **F**-module

where

$$\mathbf{A}' = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} [S_{\alpha}, \mathbf{A}].$$

 $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{A}'$ 

Note that  $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}_n / \mathbf{A}'_n$ ,  $\mathbf{A}'_n = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} [S_{\alpha}, \mathbf{A}_{n-1}]$ . We prove

**Theorem A.1.** The **F**-module **H** is a rank 7 free module generated by the monomials

$$(m_i)_{1 \le i \le 7} = (1, S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, S_0^2, S_3^2).$$
(A.1)

The **F**-linear independence of these elements follows from (2.20)–(2.23). Indeed, suppose there is a relation  $\sum_{i=1}^{7} c_i m_i = 0$  with  $c_i \in \mathbf{F}$ . The sum over elements of even degree and of odd degree must vanish separately. Specialize  $J_i$  to the value (2.11) with  $\eta \notin \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\tau$ , Im  $\eta > 0$ , and take the trace of both sides on the representation  $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . By lemma C.2, it follows that  $c_i = 0$  except for i = 3, 4, 5. To see that the latter vanish, it is enough to apply the automorphisms  $\iota^1, \iota^3$  (see (D.1) and (D.2) and two lines above) and take the trace.

Let us prove the spanning property.

Consider the tensor algebra **T** over the field **K** generated by four independent variables  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . Set  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T}[K_0, K_2]$ . It is a graded algebra:  $\mathbf{R} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{R}_n$ , where we have dim<sub>**K**</sub> $\mathbf{R}_n < \infty$ . We have the isomorphism of **K**-vector spaces

$$\mathbf{H}_{n} \simeq \mathbf{R}_{n} / \left( \sum \mathbf{R}_{n-2}([S_{0}, S_{a}] - iJ_{b,c}(S_{b}S_{c} + S_{c}S_{b})) + \sum \mathbf{R}_{n-2}([S_{b}, S_{c}] - i(S_{0}S_{a} + S_{a}S_{0})) + \sum [S_{a}, \mathbf{R}_{n-1}] + \mathbf{R}_{n-2} \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} S_{\alpha}^{2} - K_{0} \right) + \mathbf{R}_{n-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{3} J_{a}S_{a}^{2} - K_{2} \right) \right).$$
(A.2)

The **K**-vector space  $\mathbf{R}_n$  is spanned by the monomials of the form  $K_0^{m_0} K_2^{m_2} S_{\alpha_1} \cdots S_{\alpha_l}$  where  $2m_0 + 2m_2 + l = n$ . The relations which define  $\mathbf{H}_n$  in (A.2) are linear relations for these monomials. For each *n*, the coefficients of these linear relations form a matrix  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with entries in **K**.

The spanning property is clear for n = 0, 1. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$ . Divide the set of monomials of degree *n* into two groups: the first group is the monomials such that the part  $S_{\alpha_1} \cdots S_{\alpha_l}$  is equal to one of  $m_i$   $(1 \le i \le 7)$  and the second group is the rest. The matrix  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is divided into two blocks:  $\mathcal{M}_n = (\mathcal{M}'_n, \mathcal{M}''_n)$  where  $\mathcal{M}'_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}''_n$ ) corresponds to the first (resp. the second) group of monomials. It suffices to show that the rank of  $\mathcal{M}''_n$  is equal to the cardinality of the second group.

The proof of this statement exploits the classical limit:

$$J_a = 1 - \varepsilon^2 j_a, \qquad \varepsilon \to 0.$$
 (A.3)

We introduce new variables  $s_{\alpha}(\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  and  $k_0, k_1$  by

$$S_0 = \varepsilon s_0, \qquad S_a = s_a \qquad (a = 1, 2, 3),$$
 (A.4)

$$K_0 = k_0, \qquad K_2 = k_0 - \varepsilon^2 k_1.$$
 (A.5)

In appendix B, the classical limit is taken as  $\eta \to 0$  instead of  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . In this appendix, we avoid the parametrization by  $\tau$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$  in order to simplify the argument.

In the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have

$$[s_{\alpha}, s_{\beta}] = i\varepsilon \{s_{\alpha}, s_{\beta}\} + O(\varepsilon^{2}), \tag{A.6}$$

where the Poisson bracket is defined by

$$\{s_0, s_a\} = 2j_{b,c}s_bs_c, \tag{A.7}$$

$$\{s_b, s_c\} = 2s_0 s_a. \tag{A.8}$$

Here,  $j_{a,b} = -j_{b,a} = j_a - j_b$  and (a, b, c) run over cyclic permutations of (1, 2, 3). In the classical limit, the variables  $s_a$  become commutative. Let  $\mathbf{K}^{cl} = \mathbb{C}(j_1, j_2, j_3)$  denote the field of rational functions in  $j_a$  and let  $\mathbf{F}^{cl} = \mathbf{K}^{cl}[k_0, k_1]$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^{cl} = \mathbf{K}^{cl}[s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3]$  denote the polynomial ring in indeterminates  $k_0, k_1$  and  $s_\alpha$  ( $0 \le \alpha \le 3$ ), respectively. The Casimir relations (2.13) become the algebraic relations

$$s_1^2 + s_2^2 + s_3^2 = k_0, \tag{A.9}$$

$$s_0^2 + j_1 s_1^2 + j_2 s_2^2 + j_3 s_3^2 = k_1$$
(A.10)

in  $\mathbf{K}^{cl}[s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, k_0, k_1]$ :

 $\mathbf{A}^{\rm cl} \simeq \mathbf{K}^{\rm cl}[s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, k_0, k_1] / (s_1^2 + s_2^2 + s_3^2 - k_0, s_0^2 + j_1 s_1^2 + j_2 s_2^2 + j_3 s_3^2 - k_1).$ 

This makes  $\mathbf{A}^{cl}$  an  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -algebra. The algebra  $\mathbf{A}^{cl}$  is graded as well:  $\mathbf{A}^{cl} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n^{cl}$ . Let  $(\mathbf{A}^{cl})'_n \subset \mathbf{A}_n^{cl}$  be the limit (in the appropriate Grassmannian such that we consider  $s_\alpha$  as commutative variables) of  $\mathbf{A}'_n$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Then, we see  $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \{s_\alpha, \mathbf{A}_{n-1}^{cl}\} \subset (\mathbf{A}^{cl})'_n$  from (A.6). In order to show the spanning property of  $(m_i)_{1 \le i \le 7}$  in **H**, it is therefore sufficient to show the spanning property for

$$\left(m_{i}^{\text{cl}}\right)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 7} = \left(1, s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{0}^{2}, s_{3}^{2}\right). \tag{A.11}$$

in  $\mathbf{H}^{cl} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_n^{cl}$ , where

$$\mathbf{H}_{n}^{\text{cl}} = \mathbf{A}_{n}^{\text{cl}} / \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \{ s_{\alpha}, \mathbf{A}_{n-1}^{\text{cl}} \}.$$
(A.12)

In conclusion, theorem A.1 follows from

**Proposition A.2.** The  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -module  $\mathbf{H}^{cl}$  is a rank 7 free module generated by the monomials (A.11).

**Proof.** The  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -linear independence of  $m_i^{cl}$  follows from the same argument as in the quantum case. In place of trace on  $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$ , we use the non-degenerate pairing between cycles and cocycles given in appendix B.

We prove the spanning property. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 &= j_{2,3} s_2 s_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} + j_{3,1} s_3 s_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2} + j_{1,2} s_1 s_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_3} \\ \nabla_a &= -j_{b,c} s_b s_c \frac{\partial}{\partial s_0} + s_0 s_c \frac{\partial}{\partial s_b} - s_0 s_b \frac{\partial}{\partial s_c}, \end{aligned}$$

where (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2). We have  $\nabla_{\alpha} P = \frac{1}{2} \{s_{\alpha}, P\}$ . These are  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ linear.

We want to show that modulo  $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{cl}$  any monomial  $s_{0}^{m_{0}} s_{1}^{m_{1}} s_{2}^{m_{2}} s_{3}^{m_{3}}$  can be reduced to an element in  $\mathbf{F}^{cl} \cdot 1 + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \mathbf{F}^{cl} \cdot s_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \mathbf{F}^{cl} \cdot s_{\alpha}^{2}$ . Set

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[-1]}} = \sum_{0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant 3} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}, \qquad \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[-1]}} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[-1]}} / \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}.$$

We also denote  $\mathbf{H}^{cl_{[0]}} = \mathbf{H}^{cl}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{cl_{[0]}} = \mathbf{A}^{cl}$ .

Since

$$\mathbf{A}^{\text{cl}} = \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{K}^{\text{cl}}s_{\alpha}^{n}\right) \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\text{cl}_{[-1]}},$$

by using (A.9) and (A.10), the above statement follows from the following:

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{cl}_{[-1]}} = \mathbf{F}^{\text{cl}} k_0 s_0 + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathbf{F}^{\text{cl}} (k_1 - j_a k_0) s_a + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathbf{F}^{\text{cl}} (k_1 - j_a k_0) s_a^2.$$
(A.13)

Note that

$$k_1 - j_a k_0 = s_0^2 + j_{b,a} s_b^2 + j_{c,a} s_c^2,$$

and therefore we have  $k_0s_0$ ,  $(k_1 - j_ak_0)s_a$ ,  $(k_1 - j_ak_0)s_a^2 \in \mathbf{A}^{\operatorname{cl}_{[-1]}}$ . Let us prove (A.13). Suppose that a monomial  $m = s_0^{n_0}s_1^{n_1}s_2^{n_2}s_3^{n_3} \in \mathbf{H}^{\operatorname{cl}_{[-1]}}$  is such that  $\sharp\{a|n_a \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1\} \ge 2$ , e.g.,  $n_0, n_1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$ . By using the Casimir relations (A.9) and (A.10), we can replace  $s_0^2$  and  $s_1^2$  with  $s_2^2$  and  $s_3^2$ . Therefore, we have

$$m \in s_0 s_1 \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{cl}}[s_2, s_3].$$

Since

$$\nabla_2(s_2^j s_3^k) = k s_0 s_1 s_2^j s_3^{k-1},$$

we have

$$m = 0.$$

Next consider the case  $\sharp\{a|n_a \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\} = 1$ . Suppose  $n_0 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . Then, we have  $m \in s_0 s_2^2 \mathbf{F}^{cl}[s_2^2, s_3^2] + s_0 s_3^2 \mathbf{F}^{cl}[s_2^2, s_3^2].$ 

Since

$$\nabla_1 \left( s_1^i s_2^l s_3^k \right) = l s_0 s_1^i s_2^{l-1} s_3^{k+1} - k s_0 s_1^i s_2^{l+1} s_3^{k-1},$$

the monomial m belongs to  $\mathbf{K}^{cl}s_0s_2^{2j} \subset \mathbf{H}^{cl_{[-1]}}$ , where  $2j + 1 = n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ . Similarly, we see that

$$k_0^j s_0 \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_0 s_2^{2j}.$$

Since  $k_0^j s_0$  is a non-zero element in  $\mathbf{H}^{cl_{[-1]}}$ , we have

$$m \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} k_0^J s_0.$$

The case  $n_a \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1(a = 1, 2, 3)$  is similar.

The remaining case is  $n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\sharp\{a|n_a > 0\} \ge 2$ . We have deg  $m \ge 4$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{H}_{4}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[-1]}} = \sum_{0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 3} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_{i}^{2} s_{j}^{2}.$$

We have the following relations in  $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[4]}}_{4}$ :

$$\begin{split} \nabla_1(s_0s_2s_3) &= -j_{2,3}s_2^2s_3^2 + s_0^2s_3^2 - s_0^2s_2^2, \\ \nabla_2(s_0s_3s_1) &= -j_{3,1}s_3^2s_1^2 + s_0^2s_1^2 - s_0^2s_3^2, \\ \nabla_3(s_0s_1s_2) &= -j_{1,2}s_1^2s_2^2 + s_0^2s_2^2 - s_0^2s_1^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have dim  $_{\mathbf{K}^{cl}}\mathbf{H}^{cl_{4}^{[1-1]}} \leq 3$ . On the other hand,  $(k_1 - j_a k_0)s_a^2 \in \mathbf{H}^{cl_{4}^{[1-1]}}$  for a = 1, 2, 3, and they are  $\mathbf{K}^{cl}$ -linearly independent. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{4}^{\text{cl}[-1]} = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{3} \mathbf{K}^{\text{cl}}(k_{1} - j_{a}k_{0})s_{a}^{2}$$

Observe that for (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2), we have

$$\nabla_a \left( s_0^{n_0+1} s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2} s_3^{n_3} \right) = -(n_0+1) j_{b,c} s_0^{n_0} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b+1} s_c^{n_c+1} + n_b s_0^{n_0+2} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b-1} s_c^{n_c+1} - n_c s_0^{n_0+2} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b+1} s_c^{n_c-1}.$$

We can increase the power in  $s_0$  by rewriting  $s_0^{n_0} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b+1} s_c^{n_c+1}$  in terms of  $s_0^{n_0+2} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b-1} s_c^{n_c+1}$ and  $s_0^{n_0+2} s_a^{n_a} s_b^{n_b+1} s_c^{n_c-1}$ . Thus, we see that the **K**<sup>cl</sup>-vector space **H**<sup>cl[-1]</sup><sub>2j</sub>  $(j \ge 3)$  is spanned by

$$s_0^{2d} s_a^{2(j-d)}$$
  $(a = 1, 2, 3; 1 \le d \le j - 1)$ 

On the other hand, the space  $\mathbf{H}_{2j}^{cl_{[-1]}}$  contains  $\mathbf{K}^{cl}$ -linearly independent elements

$$k_0^d k_1^{j-d-2}(k_1 - j_a k_0) s_a^2 \qquad (a = 1, 2, 3; \ 0 \le d \le j - 2).$$
(A.14)  
elements (A.14) span  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{cl}[-1]}_{2,j}$ .

Therefore, the elements (A.14) span  $\mathbf{H}_{2i}^{\text{cl}[-1]}$ .

In the rest of this appendix, we explain a mathematical background of proposition A.2, which is the de Rham cohomology of the affine algebraic variety defined by the two quadrics (A.9) and (A.10). Although our proof is independent, the statement of proposition A.2 is closely related to a result of Saito.

We set

$$M = \{ (j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 | j_a \neq j_b \text{ for } a \neq b \}.$$

Let

$$\varphi: X = \mathbb{C}^4 \times M \to Y = \mathbb{C}^2 \times M \tag{A.15}$$

be the mapping such that  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_5)$  and for  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{j}) = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \times M$ we have

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{j}) = s_1^2 + s_2^2 + s_3^2, \tag{A.16}$$

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{j}) = s_0^2 + j_1 s_1^2 + j_2 s_2^2 + j_3 s_3^2, \tag{A.17}$$

$\varphi_3(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{j})=j_1,$	(A.18)
$\varphi_4(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{j})=j_2,$	(A.19)
$\varphi_5(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{j})=j_3.$	(A.20)

The critical set  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \times M$  of this mapping is given by the equation

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\varphi_5=0.$$

We have

$$C = \bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{3} \{ (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{j}) | s_{\beta} = 0 \text{ for } \beta \neq \alpha \}.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \supset & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow, \\ Y & \supset & D \end{array}$$

where the discriminant set D is given by

$$D = \{ (k_0, k_1, j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times M | \Delta(k_0, k_1, j_1, j_2, j_3) = 0 \},$$
(A.21)

$$\Delta = k_0 \prod_{a=1}^{5} (k_1 - j_a k_0). \tag{A.22}$$

The inverse image  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$  is called the simple-elliptic singularity of type  $\tilde{D}_5$  [29]. If  $y \in Y$  does not belong to *D*, the inverse image  $X_y = \varphi^{-1}(y)$  is a non-singular affine complex surface and is called a smoothing of the singularity. The mapping

$$\varphi|_{X-\varphi^{-1}(D)}: X-\varphi^{-1}(D) \to Y-D$$
 (A.23)

is a locally topologically trivial fibre space and the homology group is of rank 7:  $H_2(X_y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^7$ . In appendix B, we construct cycles in  $H_2(X_y, \mathbb{Z})$ . (In [31], Saito defined the extended affine root systems. The homology group  $H_2(X_y, \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $D_5^{(1,1)}$  in his classification. We have not identified our cycles in  $D_5^{(1,1)}$ .)

Let  $\Omega_X^p$  be the sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules consisting of germs of holomorphic p forms on X, and  $\Omega_{X/Y}^p$  the quotient sheaf

$$\Omega_{X/Y}^{p} = \Omega_{X}^{p} \bigg/ \sum_{i=1}^{5} d\varphi_{i} \wedge \Omega_{X}^{p-1}.$$
(A.24)

The relative de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}, d_{X/Y})$  is defined by the commutative diagram

$$d_{X/Y}: \quad \Omega^p_{X/Y} \to \Omega^{p+1}_{X/Y} \\ \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\ d: \qquad \Omega^p_X \to \Omega^{p+1}_X.$$

This is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. The following is Saito's result [32].

Theorem A.3. The cohomology group

 $H^{2}(\varphi_{*}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y})) = \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{*}\left(\Omega^{2}_{X/Y}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{X/Y}} \varphi_{*}\left(\Omega^{3}_{X/Y}\right)\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\varphi_{*}\left(\Omega^{1}_{X/Y}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{X/Y}} \varphi_{*}\left(\Omega^{2}_{X/Y}\right)\right)$ is an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$  locally free module of rank 7. We connect the above algebro-geometric setting to ours. The following proposition is a corollary to theorem A.3. Here, we give a proof in the line of this appendix without using Saito's result.

**Proposition A.4.** Consider a complex of  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -modules:

$$Z^{p} = \bigoplus_{0 \leqslant \alpha_{1} < \dots < \alpha_{p} \leqslant 3} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}} \, \mathrm{d} s_{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d} s_{\alpha_{p}}, \qquad \bar{Z}^{p} = Z^{p} \bigg/ \sum_{j=1,2} \mathrm{d} \varphi_{j} \wedge Z^{p-1}.$$

The cohomology group  $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{cl}_{[-2]}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(\bar{Z}^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} \bar{Z}^3) / \mathrm{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \stackrel{d}{\to} \bar{Z}^2)$  is a  $\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ -free module of rank 7, where the action of  $k_0$  (resp.  $k_1$ ) is given by the multiplication of  $\varphi_1$  (resp.  $\varphi_2$ ).

**Proof.** The key idea of the proof is to identify  $\mathbf{A}^{cl_{[-1]}}$  with  $\bar{Z}^2$ .

Let us introduce a holomorphic section  $\omega$  of the sheaf  $\Omega^2_{X/Y}\Big|_{X=C}$ .

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}s_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_2}{s_0 s_3} = \frac{\mathrm{d}s_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_3}{s_0 s_1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}s_3 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_1}{s_0 s_2}$$
$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}s_0 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_1}{j_{2,3} s_2 s_3} = \frac{\mathrm{d}s_0 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_2}{j_{3,1} s_3 s_1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}s_0 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_3}{j_{1,2} s_1 s_2}.$$

Consider the  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -module

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{cl}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{K}^{\text{cl}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[j_1, j_2, j_3]} \mathbb{C}[s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, j_1, j_2, j_3] \omega.$$

We have a canonical isomorphism of  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -modules

$$\mathbf{A}^{cl} \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{cl},$$
  

$$P \in \mathbf{A}^{cl} \text{ to } P\omega \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{cl}. \text{ It is easy to see that}$$
  

$$\mathbf{A}^{cl_{[-1]}}\omega = \bar{Z}^{2}.$$

We have

sending

$$d(P \, ds_{\alpha}) = -\nabla_{\alpha}(P)\omega \tag{A.25}$$

for  $P \in \mathbf{A}^{cl}$ . From (A.25), we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \stackrel{d}{\to} \bar{Z}^2) = \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{\operatorname{cl}}\right) \omega$$

We have already constructed the  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -bases of the modules  $\mathbf{A}^{cl} / \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{cl}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{cl_{[-1]}} / \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbf{A}^{cl}$ . We will construct a basis of Ker $(\bar{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^3) / \text{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^2)$ . First, observe that

$$\bar{Z}^3 = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_0^n \, \mathrm{d}s_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_3 + \sum_{a=1}^3 \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_a^n \, \mathrm{d}s_0 \wedge \mathrm{d}s_b \wedge \mathrm{d}s_c,$$

where (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2). From this we see that

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\bar{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^{3}) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 3}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma} \omega \oplus \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \ m,n \neq 2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 3 \\ m,n \neq 2}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} s_{\alpha}^{m} s_{\beta}^{n} \omega$$
$$\oplus \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \ n \neq 2}} \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \neq b \leq 3 \\ n \neq 2}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} (s_{0}^{2} + j_{ab} s_{b}^{2}) s_{a}^{n} \omega + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq 2 \\ 1 \leq a < b \leq 3}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{cl}} (j_{ab} s_{a}^{2} s_{b}^{2} + s_{0}^{2} s_{a}^{2} - s_{0}^{2} s_{b}^{2}) \omega.$$

We know that the  $\mathbf{F}^{cl}$ -module  $\bar{Z}^2/\text{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^2)$  has the free generators  $\kappa_0 = k_0 s_0 \omega$ ,  $\kappa_a = (k_1 - j_a k_0) s_a \omega (a = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $\rho_a = (k_1 - j_a k_0) s_a^2 \omega (a = 1, 2, 3)$ . For each degree  $e \ge 3$  and colour c = 0, 1, 2, 3, we want to construct elements of degree e and colour c in Ker( $\bar{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^3$ ) by taking  $\mathbf{K}^{cl}$ -linear combinations of  $k_0^m k_1^n \kappa_\alpha (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  and  $k_0^m k_1^n \rho_a (a = 1, 2, 3)$ . We set  $\rho_0 = k_0 s_0^2 \omega$ . We have the relation  $\rho_0 = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3$ . A straightforward calculation shows that for e = 3, 4 we have none; for e = 5 we find  $\xi_0 = k_0 \kappa_0$ for colour 0 and  $\xi_a = (k_1 - j_a k_0) \kappa_a$  for colour a = 1, 2, 3; for e = 6 we find two colour 0 elements:

$$\xi_4 = (k_1 - j_1 k_0) \rho_1 - (k_1 - j_2 k_0) \rho_2 - j_{1,2} k_0 \rho_0,$$
  

$$\xi_5 = (k_1 - j_2 k_0) \rho_2 - (k_1 - j_3 k_0) \rho_3 - j_{2,3} k_0 \rho_0.$$

For e = 5, 6 the above elements span the degree *e* cohomology classes. For e = 8, we have four obvious elements  $k_i \xi_j$  (i = 0, 1; j = 4, 5), and in addition, we find

$$\xi_6 = \frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \sum_{a=1}^3 \sum_{b \neq a} j_{a,b}\rho_a + k_0 \sum_{a=1}^3 (k_1 - j_a k_0)\rho_a.$$

These five elements span the degree 8 cohomology classes.

Finally, we show that  $\xi_a(0 \le a \le 6)$  are the generators of the  $\mathbf{F}^{\text{cl}}$ -module  $\text{Ker}(\bar{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^3)/\text{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^2)$ . For odd  $e = 2n + 3 \ge 7$ , let us consider the colour 0 case. The cases of other colours are similar. The degree 2n + 3 and colour 0 space in  $\bar{Z}^2/\text{Im}(\bar{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{Z}^2)$  has the  $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{cl}}$ -basis consisting of n + 1 elements  $k_0^j k_1^{(n-j)} \kappa_0$  ( $0 \le j \le n$ ). We have

$$d(k_1^n \kappa_0) = 3s_0^{2n} ds_1 \wedge ds_2 \wedge ds_3 \in \overline{Z}^3.$$

Therefore, the **K**<sup>cl</sup>-dimension of the degree 2n + 3 and colour  $\overline{0}$  subspace of Ker( $\overline{Z}^2 \rightarrow \overline{Z}^3$ )/Im( $\overline{Z}^1 \rightarrow \overline{Z}^2$ ) is *n*. Since the **K**<sup>cl</sup>-linearly independent elements  $k_0^j k_1^{n-1-j} \xi_0$  ( $0 \le j \le n-1$ ) belong to this subspace, they span the subspace.

For even  $e = 2n + 4 \ge 10$ , the degree 2n + 4 subspace of  $\overline{Z}^2/\text{Im}(\overline{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \overline{Z}^2)$  has the **K**<sup>cl</sup>-basis consisting of 3(n + 1) elements  $k_0^j k_1^{(n-j)} \rho_a (0 \le j \le n; a = 1, 2, 3)$ . A simple calculation shows that the elements  $d(k_1^n \rho_0)$ ,  $d(k_0^n \rho_a)(a = 1, 2, 3)$  are **K**<sup>cl</sup>-linearly independent in  $\overline{Z}^3$ . Therefore, the **K**<sup>cl</sup>-dimension of the degree 2n + 4 subspace of Ker( $\overline{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \overline{Z}^3$ )/ Im( $\overline{Z}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \overline{Z}^2$ ) is 3n - 1. On the other hand, we obtain 3n - 1 independent elements of the subspace from the degree 6 elements  $\rho_4$ ,  $\rho_5$  and the degree 8 element  $\rho_6$ . We conclude that they span the subspace.

We have explicitly constructed free bases for the spaces  $\mathbf{H}^{cl_{[0]}} \supset \mathbf{H}^{cl_{[-1]}} \supset \mathbf{H}^{cl_{[-2]}}$ . In [32], similar spaces are studied for hypersurface singularities  $\tilde{E}_6$ ,  $\tilde{E}_7$ ,  $\tilde{E}_8$ . The results in this appendix supplement some part of his construction in  $\tilde{D}_5$ , where the elliptic singularity is given by a complete intersection of two quadrics.

#### Appendix B. Cycles and integrals in the classical limit

In the classical limit, the defining relations of the Sklyanin algebra turn into (A.9) and (A.10), which define an affine algebraic surface  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ . In this section, we study the classical limit of the functional  $\text{Tr}_{\lambda}$  and identify it with an integral over a cycle on S.

Sklyanin's formulae for the representation (2.16) give an explicit uniformization of S. Consider the limit

$$\eta \to 0, \qquad \lambda \to \infty, \qquad \eta \lambda \equiv \mu \text{ finite}$$

On the right-hand side of (2.16), we replace  $\eta \partial_u$  by  $-2\pi i v$ , where v is the variable canonically conjugate to u,

$$2\pi\{v,u\}=1.$$

In the limit, the formulae for  $s_0 = S_0$ ,  $s_a = \eta S_a$  (a = 1, 2, 3) tend to

$$s_{\alpha}(u,v) = c_{\alpha} \frac{\theta_{\alpha+1}(2u-\mu) e^{-2\pi i v} - \theta_{\alpha+1}(-2u-\mu) e^{2\pi i v}}{\theta_1(2u)},$$
(B.1)

where  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2},$$
  $c_a = \sqrt{\varepsilon_a} \frac{\theta_{a+1}(0)}{2\theta'_1}$  for  $a = 1, 2, 3.$ 

Equation (B.1) provides a parametrization of the surface (A.9) and (A.10) with

$$k_0 = \left(\frac{\theta_1(\mu)}{\theta_1'}\right)^2, \qquad k_1 = \left(\frac{\theta_1(\mu)}{\theta_1'}\right)^2 \partial^2 \log \theta_1(\mu). \tag{B.2}$$

For definiteness, we consider the case  $\tau \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1/2$ . The functions  $s_{\alpha}$  have common periods

$$e_1 = (1, 0),$$
  $e_2 = (0, 1),$   $e_3 = (\tau, 2\mu),$ 

so (u, v) should be regarded as variables on  $\mathbb{C}^2/(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Z}e_i)$ . We shall consider the fundamental domain:

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(u) < 1,$$
  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im}(u) < \frac{1}{i}\tau,$   $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1.$ 

There are also pole divisors of  $s_{\alpha}$  at  $u = p_i$ , where

$$p_0 = 0, \qquad p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad p_2 = \frac{1+\tau}{2}, \qquad p_3 = \frac{\tau}{2}.$$
 (B.3)

If we neglect these divisors, there are, obviously, three non-trivial 2-cycles which are tori with generators  $(e_1, e_3)$ ,  $(e_1, e_2)$ ,  $(e_2, e_3)$ . We denote them by  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ . One can choose the tori  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  so that they do not intersect with the pole divisors. As for  $\gamma_0$ , the first impression is that it hits the divisors. But actually one has to be very careful at this point. From formula (B.1), it follows that there are no singularities at (B.3) if  $u = p_0$ ,  $p_1$  and v = 0, 1/2, or  $u = p_2$ ,  $p_3$  and  $v = \mu$ ,  $\mu + 1/2$ . Hence, the actual divisors are  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}''$ , with

$$\mathcal{D}' = \bigcup_{i=0,1} \{ (p_i, v) \mid 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1, v \neq 0, 1/2 \},$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}'' = \bigcup_{i=2,3} \{ (p_i, v) \mid 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(v) < 1, v \neq \mu, \mu + 1/2 \}.$$
(B.4)

This means, first of all, that we can modify  $\gamma_0$  at v = 0 and  $v = \mu$  into a well-defined cycle without intersection with  $\mathcal{D}$ , as depicted in figure 1.

Now we have another possibility. We can draw spheres  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta_1$  which have as south (resp. north) poles the points  $(p_0, 0)$ ,  $(p_1, 0)$  (resp.  $(p_0, 1/2)$ ,  $(p_1, 1/2)$ ). In the vicinity of these points, they are parallel to the *u*-plane, and every section of it by the plane Re (v) = a for 0 < a < 1/2 is a cycle around  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  in the *u*-plane (see figure 2). These spheres do not intersect  $\mathcal{D}$ . Similarly, we construct spheres  $\delta_2$ ,  $\delta_3$  which have as south (resp. north) poles the points  $(p_2, \mu)$ ,  $(p_3, \mu)$  (resp.  $(p_2, \mu + 1/2)$ ,  $(p_3, \mu + 1/2)$ ).

The homology group  $H_2(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$  is known. We will see below that our cycles  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_2(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z})$  give a linearly independent basis in  $H_2(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{C})$ .

(B.5)



**Figure 1.** The cycle  $\gamma_0$ .



Figure 2. The cycle  $\delta_i$  ( $i = 0, \ldots, 3$ ).

Using our seven monomials, we construct 2-forms

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{ds_1 \wedge ds_2}{s_0 s_3}, \qquad \omega_0 = s_0^2 \omega, \qquad \omega_3 = s_3^2 \omega,$$
  
$$\sigma_\alpha = s_\alpha \omega, \qquad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

By direct computation, we check that in Sklyanin's parametrization (B.1)

$$= \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}v.$$

This formula allows us to calculate the integrals explicitly. Let us start with the cycles  $\delta_{\alpha}$ . We obtain

$$\int_{\delta_{\alpha}} \omega = \int_{\delta_{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta} = 0, \qquad \int_{\delta_{\alpha}} \sigma_{\beta} = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta} \frac{\theta_{\beta+1}(-\mu)}{\theta_{1}'},$$

where  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  are elements of the matrix

ω

Note, in particular, that

$$\int_{\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \delta_{\alpha}} \sigma_{\beta} = 0 \tag{B.6}$$

for  $\beta = 1, 2, 3$ .

For the integrals over  $\gamma_k$  (k = 1, 2), we find

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\gamma_k} \sigma_{\alpha} = 0, \qquad \int_{\gamma_1} \omega = 1, \qquad \int_{\gamma_2} \omega = \tau, \\ &\int_{\gamma_1} \omega_{\alpha} = -2 \left( \frac{c_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha+1}(\mu)}{\theta_1'} \right)^2 \partial^2 \log \theta_{\alpha+1}(\mu), \\ &\int_{\gamma_2} \omega_{\alpha} = -2 \left( \frac{c_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha+1}(\mu)}{\theta_1'} \right)^2 \left( \tau \, \partial^2 \log \theta_{\alpha+1}(\mu) + 2\pi \mathbf{i} \right) \end{split}$$

For  $\gamma_0$ , we introduce

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0 = \gamma_0 - 2\mu\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta=0}^3 \delta_\beta.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_0} \omega = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_0} \sigma_{\alpha} = 0, \qquad \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_0} \omega_{\alpha} = 2\left(\frac{c_{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha+1}(\mu)}{\theta_1'}\right)^2 \partial \log \theta_{\alpha+1}^2(\mu),$$

Using the above formulae, we can calculate the determinant of the period matrix as

$$\det\left(\mathcal{P}\right) = \operatorname{Const} \cdot \theta_1(2\mu) \left(\theta_1(\mu)\theta_0(\mu)\right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} \log\left(\frac{\theta_1(\mu)}{\theta_0(\mu)}\right),$$

whence we conclude that for generic  $\mu$ , the period matrix is non-degenerate.

Comparison with the quantum formulae (2.20) and (2.21) shows the exceptional role of the cycle  $\gamma_0$  as  $\eta \to 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\lambda}(S_{\alpha}) &\sim \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\gamma_0} s_{\alpha} \omega \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\lambda}(S_{\alpha}^2) &\sim \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\gamma_0} s_{\alpha}^2 \omega \times \begin{cases} 1 & (\alpha = 0), \\ \frac{1}{\eta^2} & (\alpha = 1, 2, 3). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Actually, classical limits of all the elements of *F* in (2.22)–(2.24) can be found among integrals over the cycles  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ . This fact played an important heuristic role in the calculation of traces.

## Appendix C. Technical lemmas

In this appendix, we collect some technical matters related to  $Tr_{\lambda}$ .

The first is the colour conservation for  $Tr_{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma C.1.** If  $A \in \mathcal{A}^{(m,n)}$ , then

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda} A = 0$$
 unless  $(m, n) = (0, 0).$ 

Proof. Set

$$\varphi_1(f)(u) := f\left(u + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) e^{2\pi i k u}, \qquad \varphi_2(f)(u) := f\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

One verifies easily that  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}^{(k)})$  and

$$\begin{split} \varphi_1 \circ \pi^{(k)}(S_{\alpha}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} &= (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \pi^{(k)}(S_{\alpha}), \\ \varphi_2 \circ \pi^{(k)}(S_{\alpha}) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} &= (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \pi^{(k)}(S_{\alpha}), \end{split}$$

where  $\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{tr}_{V^{(k)}}\pi^{(k)}(A) = (-1)^m \operatorname{tr}_{V^{(k)}}\pi^{(k)}(A) = (-1)^n \operatorname{tr}_{V^{(k)}}\pi^{(k)}(A)$$

whence the lemma.

The next lemma is concerned about the uniqueness of the representation of  $Tr_{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma C.2.** Assume Im  $\eta$ , Im  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\eta \notin \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\tau$ . Let  $g_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) be elliptic functions with periods 1 and  $\tau$ , and set  $f(u) = \zeta(u)g_1(u) + ug_2(u) + g_3(u)$ , where  $\zeta(u) = -(1/2\pi i)\theta'_1(u)/\theta_1(u)$ . If there exists an N > 0 such that  $f(k\eta) = 0$  holds for all integers k > N, then we have  $g_i(u) \equiv 0$  (i = 1, 2, 3).

**Proof.** We divide into three cases: (i) $g_1(u) = g_2(u) = 0$ , (ii) $g_1(u) \neq 0$  and  $g_2(u) = 0$ , (iii)  $g_2(u) \neq 0$ .

Since  $K = \{k\eta \mid k > N\}$  has accumulation points, the assertion is evident in case (i). Let us show that cases (ii) and (iii) lead to contradictions.

In case (ii), considering  $f(u)/g_1(u)$ , we may assume  $g_1(u) = 1$ . Then,  $f(u + \tau) = f(u) + 1$ . Choose a point  $u_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (K \cup L)$  which is not a pole of f(u). One can find a sequence of integers  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots, k_n \to \infty$ , such that  $k_n\eta$  tends to  $u_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}/L$ . Since Im  $\eta > 0$ , if we write  $k_n\eta = a_n + b_n\tau$  ( $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ), then the integer part of  $b_n$  diverges. Therefore,  $f(k_n\eta)$  diverges as  $n \to \infty$ , which contradicts to the assumption  $f(k_n\eta) = 0$ .

In case (iii), we may assume  $g_2(u) = 1$ . Set  $F(u) = f(u + \eta) - f(u)$ . We have  $F(k\eta) = 0$  (k > N), F(u + 1) = F(u) and  $F(u + \tau) - F(u) = g_1(u + \eta) - g_1(u)$ . Hence,  $F(u) = G(u) + \zeta(u)(g_1(u + \eta) - g_1(u))$  with some elliptic function G(u). From cases (i) and (ii), we conclude that F(u) = 0. In particular,  $g_1$  is a constant. Considering  $f'(u + \eta) = f'(u)$ , we find that f(u) is a linear function. Clearly, this is impossible.

Let us sketch the derivation of the formulae for  $\text{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}$ ,  $\text{Tr}_{\lambda}S_{\alpha}^2$ . We have the standard functional relation

$$t^{(1)}\left(t - \frac{k}{2}\eta\right)t^{(k)}\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right) = \phi(t+\eta)t^{(k+1)}(t) + \phi(t)t^{(k-1)}(t+\eta)$$

for the transfer matrices

$$t^{(k)}(t) := \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{V}^{(k)}}\left(r_{a,N}^{(k,1)}(t-t_N)\cdots r_{a,1}^{(k,1)}(t-t_1)\right) \in \operatorname{End}(V^{\otimes N}).$$

where  $r^{(k,1)}(t) := (\pi^{(k)} \otimes \mathrm{id})L(t)$  and  $\phi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} [t-t_j - (k/2)\eta]$ . Choosing  $N = 1, t_1 = 0$  and applying (C.1), we easily find (2.20). The same method is applicable for (2.21). We find it slightly simpler to use the difference equation for the matrices  $\widehat{X}_{a,2}^{(1,2)}$ .

# Appendix D. Transformation properties of $\widehat{X}_{n}^{(i \cdot j)}$

Let us study the transformation properties of  $\widehat{X}_n^{(i,j)}$  with respect to the shift of variables by half periods. For that purpose, we exploit the order 4 automorphisms  $\iota^1, \iota^3$  of the Sklyanin algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$\iota^{1}(S_{0}) = -\frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(\eta)}S_{1}, \qquad \iota^{1}(S_{1}) = \frac{\theta_{2}(\eta)}{\theta_{1}(\eta)}S_{0}, \qquad \iota^{1}(S_{2}) = i\frac{\theta_{3}(\eta)}{\theta_{0}(\eta)}S_{3}, \qquad \iota^{1}(S_{3}) = -i\frac{\theta_{0}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(\eta)}S_{2}$$
$$\iota^{3}(S_{0}) = \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{0}(\eta)}S_{3}, \qquad \iota^{3}(S_{1}) = \frac{\theta_{2}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(\eta)}S_{2}, \qquad \iota^{3}(S_{2}) = -\frac{\theta_{3}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(\eta)}S_{1}, \qquad \iota^{3}(S_{3}) = \frac{\theta_{0}(\eta)}{\theta_{1}(\eta)}S_{0}.$$

In terms of the L-operator, they can be written as

$$\iota^{1}\left(L(t)\right) = L\left(t + \frac{1}{4}\right)\sigma^{1},\tag{D.1}$$

$$\iota^{3}(L(t)) = L\left(t + \frac{\tau}{4}\right)\sigma^{3} \times (-\mathbf{i})\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mathbf{i}(2t+\eta+\tau/4)}.\tag{D.2}$$

**Lemma D.1.** Let  $A \in A_n$  be an element of the Sklyanin algebra of even degree n and let  $\theta_1(t)^{-n} \operatorname{Tr}_{t/\eta} A = g_{A,1}(t) - (t/\eta)g_{A,2}(t)$ , where  $g_{A,1}, g_{A,2}$  are as in (2.18). Then,

$$\theta_{1}(t)^{-n} \operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{1}{2\eta}} \iota^{1}(A) = g_{A,1}(t) - \left(\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{1}{2\eta}\right) g_{A,2}(t),$$
  

$$\theta_{1}(t)^{-n} \operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{\tau}{2\eta}} \iota^{3}(A) = \left(g_{A,1}(t) + \frac{1}{2}g_{A,3}(t) - \left(\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{\tau}{2\eta}\right) g_{A,2}(t)\right) (-e^{-\pi i(\tau/2 + 2t)})^{n/2},$$

where  $g_{A,3}(t) = g_{A,1}(t + \tau) - g_{A,1}(t)$  is an elliptic function.

**Proof.** In view of theorem A.1, it is enough to consider elements A of the form  $m \cdot S_{\alpha}^2$ , where m is a polynomial in  $K_0$ ,  $K_2$  of degree (n - 2)/2. For n = 2, the assertion can be verified from the explicit formula (2.21).

Let  $I_t$  denote the two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $K_0 - 4\theta_1(t)^2/\theta_1(2\eta)^2$ ,  $K_2 - 4\theta_1(t + \eta)\theta_1(t - \eta)/\theta_1(2\eta)^2$  and let  $\overline{\omega}_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/I_t$  be the projection. From (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$\varpi_t \left( L_1 \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) L_2 \left( \frac{s}{2} - \eta \right) \right) \mathcal{P}_{12}^- = -\frac{\theta_1 (t-s) \theta_1 (t+s)}{\theta_1^2 (2\eta)} \mathcal{P}_{12}^-.$$

Along with (D.1) and (D.2), it follows that for i = 0, 2,

$$\varpi_{t+1/2}(\iota^1(K_i)) = \varpi_t(K_i), \qquad \varpi_{t+\tau/2}(\iota^3(K_i)) = \varpi_t(K_i) \times (-1) e^{-\pi i(\tau/2+2t)}$$

The lemma follows from these relations.

**Proposition D.2.** The  $\widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)}$  obey the following transformation laws:

$$\sigma_{\bar{k}}^{1} \sigma_{k}^{1} \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)} \left( \dots, t_{k} + \frac{1}{2}, \dots \right)$$

$$= \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)} (\dots, t_{k}, \dots) \times \begin{cases} \sigma_{k}^{1} \sigma_{\bar{k}}^{1} & (k \neq i, j), \\ (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{p(\neq i,j)} \sigma_{p}^{1} \sigma_{\bar{p}}^{1} & (k = i, j), \end{cases}$$
(D.3)

$$\sigma_{\bar{k}}^{3} \sigma_{k}^{3} \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)} \left( \dots, t_{k} + \frac{\tau}{2}, \dots \right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)} (\dots, t_{k}, \dots) \sigma_{k}^{3} \sigma_{\bar{k}}^{3} & (k \neq i, j), \\ \left( \widehat{X}_{a,n}^{(i,j)} (\dots, t_{k}, \dots) \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a1} \widehat{X}_{3,n}^{(i,j)} (\dots, t_{k}, \dots) \right) \\ \times (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{p(\neq i,j)} \sigma_{\bar{p}}^{3} \sigma_{p}^{3} & (k = i, j). \end{cases}$$
(D.4)

In the last line, the upper (resp. lower) sign is chosen for k = i (resp. k = j). In particular,  $\widehat{X}_{2,n}^{(i,j)}, \widehat{X}_{3,n}^{(i,j)}$  are elliptic functions of  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  with periods  $1, \tau$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the case (i, j) = (1, 2). If  $k \neq 1, 2$ , this is a simple consequence of the transformation law of the *L*-operator

$$L\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\sigma^{1}L(t)\sigma^{1},$$
  
$$L\left(t+\frac{\tau}{2}\right) = -\sigma^{3}L(t)\sigma^{3} \times e^{-2\pi i(2t+\eta+\tau/2)}.$$

Consider the case k = 1. Using the automorphism  $\iota^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_{n}^{(1,2)}\left(t_{1}+\frac{1}{2},\ldots\right) \times [t_{12}+1/2] \prod_{p=3}^{n} [t_{1p}+1/2][t_{2p}] \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t_{12}}{\eta}+\frac{1}{2\eta}}\left(T^{[1]}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{4};t_{1}+\frac{1}{2},\ldots,t_{n}\right)\right) P_{12}\mathcal{P}_{1\bar{1}}^{-}\mathcal{P}_{2\bar{2}}^{-} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t_{12}}{\eta}+\frac{1}{2\eta}}\iota^{1}\left(T^{[1]}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2};t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}\right)\right) \prod_{p=2}^{n} \sigma_{\bar{p}}^{1}\sigma_{p}^{1}P_{12}\mathcal{P}_{1\bar{1}}^{-}\mathcal{P}_{2\bar{2}}^{-} \\ &= \sigma_{\bar{1}}^{1}\sigma_{1}^{1}\operatorname{Tr}_{\frac{t_{12}}{\eta}+\frac{1}{2\eta}}\iota^{1}\left(T^{[1]}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2};t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}\right)\right) P_{12}\mathcal{P}_{1\bar{1}}^{-}\mathcal{P}_{2\bar{2}}^{-} \prod_{p=3}^{n} \sigma_{\bar{p}}^{1}\sigma_{p}^{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying lemma D.1, we obtain (D.3) with k = 1. Equation (D.4) is shown similarly. The case k = 2 can be obtained by using the translation invariance.

#### References

- [1] Baxter R 1982 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (London: Academic)
- [2] Baxter R 1978 Solvable eight-vertex model on an arbitrary planar lattice Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 289 315-46
- [3] Boos H, Jimbo M, Miwa T, Smirnov F and Takeyama Y 2005 A recursion formula for the correlation functions of an inhomogeneous XXX model Algebra Anal. 17 no 1 115–59
- Boos H, Jimbo M, Miwa T, Smirnov F and Takeyama Y 2004 Reduced qKZ equation and correlation functions of the XXZ model *Preprint* hep-th/0412191 (*Commun. Math. Phys.* at press)
- [5] Boos H and Korepin V 2001 Quantum spin chains and Riemann zeta functions with odd arguments J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 5311–6 (Preprint hep-th/0104008)
- [6] Boos H, Korepin V and Smirnov F 2003 Emptiness formation probability and quantum Knizhnik– Zamolodchikov equation *Nucl. Phys.* B 658 417–39 (*Preprint* hep-th/0209246)
- [7] Boos H, Korepin V and Smirnov F 2004 New formulae for solutions of quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations on level -4 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 323-36 (Preprint hep-th/0304077)
- [8] Fabricius K and McCoy B 2004 Functional equations and fusion matrices for the eight vertex model *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 40 905–32
- [9] Fabricius K and McCoy B 2004 New developments in the eight-vertex model: II. Chains of odd length Preprint cond-mat/0410113
- [10] Odesskii A and Feigin B 1990 Sklyanin elliptic algebra Funct. Anal. Appl. 23 207-14
- [11] Odesskii A 2002 Elliptic algebras Russ. Math. Surv. 57 1-50
- [12] Griffith P A and Harris J 1978 Principles of Algebraic Geometry (New York: Wiley-Interscience)
- [13] Göhmann F, Klümper A and Seel A 2005 Integral representation of the density matrix of the XXZ chain at finite temperatures J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 1833–42 (Preprint cond-mat/0412062)
- [14] Erdélyi A et al 1953 Higher Transcendental Functions (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [15] Jimbo M and Miwa T 1996 Quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation at |q| = 1 and correlation functions of the XXZ model in the gapless regime *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 2923–58
- [16] Jimbo M, Miwa T and Nakayashiki A 1993 Difference equations for the correlation functions of the eight vertex model J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 2199–209
- [17] Jimbo M, Miwa T, Miki K and Nakayashiki A 1992 Correlation functions of the XXZ model for  $\Delta < -1$  *Phys.* Lett. A **168** 256–63

- [18] Kitanine N, Maillet J M, Slavnov N A and Terras V 2004 Master equation for spin-spin correlation functions of the XXZ chain *Preprint* hep-th/0406190
- [19] Kitanine N, Maillet J M, Slavnov N A and Terras V 2004 Dynamical correlation functions of the XXZ spin-1/2 chain *Preprint* hep-th/0407223
- [20] Kitanine N, Maillet J-M and Terras V 2000 Correlation functions of the XXZ Heisenberg spin-1/2-chain in a magnetic field Nucl. Phys. B 567 554–82
- [21] Korff C 2005 Auxiliary matrices on both sides of the equator J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 47-66
- [22] Korff C 2005 A Q-operator identity for the correlation functions of the infinite XXZ spin-chain Preprint hep-th/0503130
- [23] Kato G, Shiroishi M, Takahashi M and Sakai K 2004 Third-neighbor and other four-point correlation functions of spin-1/2 XXZ chain J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 5097
- [24] Lukyanov S and Pugai Y 1996 Multi-point local height probabilities in the integrable RSOS model Nucl. Phys. B 473 631–58
- [25] Lashkevich M and Pugai Y 1998 Free field construction for correlation functions of the eight-vertex model Nucl. Phys. B 516 623–51
- [26] Lashkevich M and Pugai Y 1998 Nearest neighbor two-point correlation function of the Z-invariant eight-vertex model JETP Lett. 68 257–62
- [27] Pronko A and Stroganov Yu 1999 Bethe equations on 'the wrong side of equator' J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 2333–40
- [28] Ruijsenaars S N M 1997 First order analytic difference equations and integrable quantum systems J. Math. Phys. 38 1069–146
- [29] Saito K 1974 Einfach-elliptische Singularitäten Inventiones Math. 23 289–325
- [30] Saito K 1983 Period mapping associated to a primitive form Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 19 1231-64
- [31] Saito K 1985 Extended affine root systems I (Coxeter transformations) Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 21 75–179
- [32] Saito K 1982 On the periods of primitive integrals I RIMS Preprint 412
- [33] Shiraishi J 2004 Free field constructions for the elliptic algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\hat{sl}_2)$  and Baxter's eight-vertex model *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **19** 363–80 (*Preprint* math.QA/0302097)
- [34] Shiraishi J 2005 A commutative family of integral transformations and basic hypergeometric series I Preprint math.QA/0501251

Shiraishi J 2005 A commutative family of integral transformations and basic hypergeometric series II *Preprint* math.QA/0502228

- [35] Sklyanin E K 1982 Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang–Baxter equation Funct. Anal. Appl. 16 27–34
- [36] Sklyanin E K 1983 Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang–Baxter equation. Representations of quantum algebras Funct. Anal. Appl. 17 34–48
- [37] Takahashi M, Kato G and Shiroishi M 2004 Next nearest-neighbor correlation functions of the spin-1/2 XXZ chain at massive region J. Phys. Soc. Japan 73 245